



URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

2000















Sonoma County Water Agency Urban Water Management Plan 2000

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Table 1 - 1: Coordination and Public Involvement

Chapter 2

Table 2 - 1: Population Projections for the Water Cortractors

Chapter 3

Table 3 - 1: Current and Projected Water Supplies - Sonoma County Water Agency Table 3 - 2: Current and Projected Water Supplies - City of Santa Rosa Table 3 - 3: Current and Projected Water Supplies - North Marin Water District Table 3 - 4: Current and Projected Water Supplies - City of Petaluma Table 3 - 5: Current and Projected Water Supplies - City of Rohnert Park Table 3 - 6: Current and Projected Water Supplies - Valley of the Moon Water District Table 3 - 7: Current and Projected Water Supplies - City of Sonoma Table 3 - 8: Current and Projected Water Supplies - City of Cotati Table 3 - 9: Current and Projected Water Supplies - Forestville Water District

Chapter 4

Table 4 - 1: Wholesale Water Distribution - Sonoma County Water Agency
Table 4 - 2: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - City of Santa Rosa Table 4
- 3: Number of Connections by Customer Type - City of Santa Rosa
Table 4 - 4: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - North Marin Water District
Table 4 - 5: Number of Projected Equivalent Single Family Dwelling Units - North Marin Water District Tabl
Current and Projected Water End-Use - City of Petaluma Table 4 - 7: Number of Connections by Cu

Table 4 - 5: Number of Projected Equivalert Single Family Dwelling Units - North Marin Water District Table 4 - 6: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - City of Petaluma Table 4 - 7: Number of Connections by Customer Type - City of Petaluma Table 4 - 8: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - City of Rohnert Park Table 4 - 9: Number of Connections - City of Rohnert Park Table 4 - 10: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - Valley of the Moon Water District Table 4 - 11: Number of Connections by Customer Type - Valley of the Moon Water District Table 4 - 12: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - City of Sonoma Table 4 - 13: Number of Connections by Customer Type - City of Sonoma Table 4 - 15: Number of Connections by Customer Type - City of Cotati Table 4 - 16: Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use - Forestville Water District Table 4 - 17: Number of Connections by Customer Type - Forestville Water District Table 4 - 17: Number of Connections by Customer Type - Forestville Water District

Chapter 5

Table 5 - 1: Average Year - Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - Sonoma County Water Agency Table 5 - 2: Single Dry Year - Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - Sonoma County Water Agency Table 5 - 3: Multiple Dry Year - Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - Sonoma County Water Agency Table 5 - 4: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - City of Santa Rosa Table 5 - 5: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - North Marin Water District Table 5 - 6: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - City of Petaluma Table 5 - 7: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - City of Rohnert Park Table 5 - 8: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - Valley of the Moon Water District Table 5 - 9: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - City of Sonoma Table 5 - 10: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - City of Cotati Table 5 - 11: Projected Supply and Demand Comparison - Forestville Water District

Chapter 6

Table 6 - 1: Supply Reliability in Year 2020

Table 6 - 2: Basis of Water Year Data

Table 6 - 3: Three-Year Estimated Minimum Water Supply Table 6

- 4: Water Shortage Expectations

Chapter 7

Table 7 - 1: Preparation Actions for Catastrophe and Water Shortage Table 7 - 2: Rationing Stages, Conditions, and Reduction Goals Table 7 - 3: Mandatory Restrictions and Prohibitions Table 7 - 4: Percentage Inserts for Stage 2 Rationing Table 7 - 5: Summary of Methods of Reduction Table 7 - 6: Determining Reductions

Table 7 - 7: Penalties for Non-compliance and Excessive Use

Table 7 - 8: Components of Revenue and Impact Mitigation Analysis

Chapter 8

Table 8 - 1: Wastewater Systems and Disposal Methods

Table 8 - 2: Wastewater Treatment and Reuse

Table 8 - 3: Recycled Water: Current/Projected Urban Reuse and Feasibility

Chapter 9

Table 9 - 1: Washing Machines Rebated and Water Saved

Table 9 - 2: Water Education Program Participants (1996 - 2000) Table 9

- 3: School Education Program - City of Santa Rosa Table 9 - 4: School Education Program - City of Petaluma

Table 9 - 5: School Education Program - North Marin Water District Table 9 -

6: School Education Program - City of Sonoma

Table 9 - 7: School Education Program - Valley of the Moon Water District Table 9 - 8: School Education Program - City of Cotati Table 9 - 9: School Education Program - Forestville Water District Table 9 - 10: School Education Program - City of Rohnert Park

reduction in surface water supply available to the Agency's water transmission system will occur at a rate of approximately 1,000 acre-feet each five years between now and the year 2020.

GROUNDWATER SUPPLY

In addition to the surface water supply from the Russian River described above, the Agency has three existing groundwater wells in the Santa Rosa Plain with a maximum production capacity of 7.6 million gallons per day (mgd). These wells are located on Sebastopol Road, Occidental Road and Todd Road and have capacities of 3.6 mgd, 2.3 mgd and 1.7 mgd, respectively. The reliable capacity of the Agency's existing wells (2/3 of the capacity with the largest well out of service) is 2.7 mgd, or 3,025 acre-feet per year (AFY).

TOTAL WATER SUPPLY

The current and projected water supplies available to the Agency's water transmission system are shown in Table

1. The water supply deemed available in Table 3 - 1 is based upon the multiple dry years 1990 through 1992 (and the four preceding years). The Agency's mechanism for responding to water supply shortages, should such shortages occur, are discussed in Chapter 7, Water Shortage Contingency Planning.

	Table 3 –1 jected Water Si Year Hydrologic oma County Wa	upplies (acre c Modeling I	All the second s	A.T. Track	
Water Supply Sources	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from USBR					
Purchased from DWR					
Purchased from wholesaler Wholesaler 1		Do	es not apply		
Supplier produced groundwater	3,025	3,025	3,025	3,025	3,025
Supplier produced surface water	127,830	126,830	125,830	124,830	123,830
Transfers				<u>_</u>	
Exchanges					
Recycled Water		Do	es not apply		
Other					
To	tai 130,855	129,855	128,855	127,855	126,855

⁷ Tables 3 - 1 through 3 - 9 are adapted from Example Table 3 in "2000 Urban Water Management Planning Act Checklist and Worksheets," published by the California Department of Water Resources.

CITY OF PETALUMA

Table 3 - 4 shows the current and projected water supplies available to the City of Petaluma (Petaluma). Petaluma's entitlement to Agency transmission system water under the Eleventh Amended Agreement is a maximum average monthly delivery rate of 21.8 mgd with an annual limit of 13,400 AF. Petaluma currently has 11 operational groundwater wells with a rated production capacity of 5.4 mgd. The long-term reliable capacity of Petaluma's wells (2/3 of the capacity with the largest well out of service) is approximately 3.2 mgd or 3,585 AFY.

Current and Projec	Table 3 – 4 ted Water Su City of Petalu	pplies (acre	-feet/year)		
Water Supply Sources	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from USBR					
Purchased from DWR		Do	es not apply		
Purchased from wholesaler	-and				
Sonoma County Water Agency	10,171°	10,916 ^b	11,898 ^b	12,611 ^b	13,358 ^b
Supplier produced groundwater	1,029°	750 ^b	500b	250⁵	Оь
Supplier produced surface water					
Transfers		Do	es not apply		
Exchanges					
Recycled Water	Оь	300b	400 ^b	500 ^b	600b
Total	11,200 ^d	11,966 ^d	12,798 ^d	13,361 ^d	13,958 ^d

a Actual water year 2000 delivery from Agency billing meter records. b Provided by Petaluma.

c´Calculated as difference between total and water purchased from Agency. d From water use data provided by Petaluma (Table 4-6).

CITY OF ROHNERT PARK

Table 3 - 5 shows the current and projected water supplies available to the City of Rohnert Park (Rohnert Park). Rohnert Parks entitlement to Agency transmission system water under the Eleventh Amended Agreement is a maximum average monthly delivery rate of 15.0 mgd with an annual limit of 7,500 AF. Rohnert Park currently has 39 operational groundwater wells with a rated production capacity of 6.3 mg d. The reliable capacity of Rohnert Parks wells (2/3 of the capacity with the largest well out of service) is 4.0 mgd, or 4,481 AFY.

Current and Projec	Table 3 – 5 ted Water Su by of Rohnert	ipplies (acre	-feet/year)		
Water Supply Sources	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from USBR					
Purchased from DWR		Do	es not apply	•	
Purchased from wholesaler	25.7				
Sonoma County Water Agency	2,785ª	7,234 ^b	7,500°	7,500°	7,500°
Supplier produced groundwater	4,020 ^d	0e	172 ^d	634 ^d	1,108
Supplier produced surface water					
Transfers		Do	es not apply		
Exchanges					
Recycled Water	973	973 ⁹	988 g	1004 ⁹	1034°
Total	7,778h	8,207 ^h	8,660 ^h	9,138 ^h	9,642h

a Actual water year 2000 delivery from Agency billing meter records.

b Calculated as difference between total and recycled water.

c WSTSP annual delivery limit.

d Calculated as the difference between total and the sum of water purchased from Agency and recycled water. e Assumes that

Romert Park wishes to minimize use of their groundwater sources.

f Provided by Santa Rosa Subregional Wastewater Redamation System. 9 Provided by City of Rohnert Park

h From water use data (Table 4-8) that is derived from information contained in California Department of Health Services, Sonoma County Water Adequacy Evaluation (Append x A).

Current and Pr Valle		Table 3 – ted Water S the Moon V	upplies (ac) kes	19 -4 19-4
Water Supply Sources		2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from USBR						
Purchased from DWR			D	oes not app	ly	
Purchased from wholesaler					100	
Sonoma County Water Agency		2,784ª	3,200 ^b	3,200 ^b	3,200 ^b	3,200 ^b
Supplier produced groundwater		1,031°	784 ^d	784 ^d	784 ^d	784 ^d
Supplier produced surface water						
Transfers						
Exchanges			Đ	oes not app	ly	
Recycled Water		Does not				
		apply ^e	0₫	0₫	Oª	O _q
Other			2 ^f	174 ¹	3461	517 ^f
T	otal	3,815 ⁹	3,986 ⁹	4,158 ⁹	4,330 ⁹	4,501 ⁹

a Actual wateryear 2000 delivery from Agency billing meter records.

b WSTSP annual delivery limit.
c Calculated as differencebetween total and waterpurchased from Agency.
d Provided by Valley of the Moon Water District.
e Infrastructure to deliverrecycled waterdoes not currently exist in VOMWDs service area For future possibilities regarding use of recycled water, refer to Chapter8 in this UWMP2000.

With 2000. The Works with 2000 of From a variety of sources: Valley of the Moon's groundwater, Agency supply defined to be available in Chapter 3, and additional rause and conservation. Calculated as difference between total and sum of waterpurch ased from Agency and VOMWD produced groundwater.

9 From water use data provided by VOMWD (Table 4 - 10).

CITY OF SONOMA

Table 3 - 7 shows the current and projected water supplies available to the City of Sonoma (Sonoma). Sonoma's entitlement to Agency transmission system water under the Beventh Amended Agreement is a maximum average monthly delivery rate of 6.3 mgd with an annual limit of 3,000 AF. Sonoma currently has 3 operational groundwater wells with a rated production capacity of 1.1 mgd. The long-term reliable capacity of Sonoma's wells (2/3 of the capacity with the largest well out of service) is approximately 0.4 mgd or 448 AFY.

Current and I	Projec	Table 3 – ted Water S	April 10 Committee	re-feet/vear	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Water Supply Sources		City of Sono	ma			0000
Purchased from USBR		2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from DWR			C	oes not app	ly	
Purchased from wholesaler						
Sonoma County Water Agency		2,508ª	2,714 ^b	2,991 ^b	3,000°	3,000
Supplier produced groundwater		O ^d	0e	0e	269 ^f	448
Supplier produced surface water						
Transfers			D	oes not app	ly	
Exchanges						
Recycled Water		Does not				
		apply ^h	O ⁱ	O ⁱ	0'	0
Other		0	0	0	0	96
	Total	2,508 ^k	2,714'	2,991	3,2691	3,554

a Actual water year 2000 delivery from Agency billing meter records. b Calculated as difference between total and Soroma produced groundwater.

c WSTSP annual delivery limit.

d Assumed. Average annual groundwater production from 1997-1999 was only 7 acre-feet

e Sonoma indicates that they will rely on Agency produced water rather than operate their wells. f Calculated as difference between total and water purchased from wholes der.

 $^{9\,}Sonoma$ wells assumed to be operating at long-termreliable capacity rate of 0.4 mgd.

h Infrastructure to deliver recycled water does not currently exist in Sonoma's service area. For future possibilities regarding use ofrecycled water, refer to Chapter 8 in this UWMP 2000.

i Provided by City of Sonoma.

¹ From a variety of sources: Agency supply defined to be available in Chapter 3 and additional reuse and conservation. Calculated as the difference between total and sum of water delivered from Agency and supplier produced groundwater. kCalculated as sum of water delivered from Agency and supplier produced groundwater. I From water use data provided by Sonoma (Table 4-12).

CITY OF COTATI

Table 3 - 8 shows the current and projected water supplies available to the City of Cotati (Cotati). Cotati's entitlement to Agercy transmission system water under the Eleventh Amended Agreement is a maximum average monthly delivery rate of 3.8 mgd with an annual limit of 1,520 AF. Cotati currently has 3 operational groundwater wells with a rated production capacity of 2.66 mgd. However, Well 1, which has a pumping capacity of 750 gallons per minute (gpm), only has an effective capacity of 500 gpm because of limitations in the iron/marganese filtration plant. This reduces the total effective capacity to 2.3 mgd. The reliable long-term reliable capacity of Cotati's wells (2/3 of the capacity with the largest well out of service) is approximately 0.8 mgd or 896 AFY.

Current and Project	Table 3 – 8 ted Water Su City of Cota	pplies (acre	-feet/year)		i e
Water Supply Sources	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from USBR					
Purchased from DWR		Do	es not apply		
Purchased from wholesaler			te de la companya de		
Sonoma County Water Agency	769ª	806 ^b	1,471 ^b	1,520°	1,520
Supplier produced groundwater	409 ^d	448e	O°	0e	06
Supplier produced surface water					
Transfers		Do	es not apply		
Exchanges					
Recycled Water	0°	112°	112°	112e	112°
Other				204	496
Total	1,1789	1,366 ^g	1,583 ⁹	1,836 ^g	2,128 ⁹

a Actual water year 2000 delivery from Agencybilling meter records.

b Calculated as difference between total and thesum of Cotati produced groundwater and recycled water. cWSTSP annual deliveryllimit.

dCaculated as difference between total and water purchased from wholesaler.

e Provided by Cotali.

f From a variety of sources: Cotali produced groundwater, Agency supply defined to be available in Chapter 3, and additional reuse and conservation.

Calculated as difference between total and the sum of recycled water and water purchased from wholesaler.

⁹ From water use data provided by Cotali (Table 4 - 14).

FORESTVILLE WAT ER DISTRICT

Table 3 - 9 shows the current and projected water supplies available to the Forestville Water District (FWD). FWD's entitlement to Agency transmission system water under the Eleventh Amended Agreement is a maximum average morthly delivery rate of 1.5 mgd with no annual limit.

Current and Proje	Table 3 – cted Water Si estville Water	upplies (ac	re-feet/year)		
Water Supply Sources	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Purchased from USBR					
Purchased from DWR		D	oes not apply	1	
Purchased from wholesaler					
Sonoma County Water Agency	480ª	439 ^b	446 ^b	456 ^b	464 ^b
Supplier produced groundwater					
Supplier produced surface water					
Transfers	_	D	oes not apply	1	
Exchanges					
Recycled Water	Does not				
	apply ^c	50₫	50⁴	50 ^d	50 ^d
Tota	al 480°	489°	496 °	506°	514°

a Actual water year 2000 delivery from Agency billing meter records.

b Calculated as difference between total and recycled water.
c Infrastructure to deliver recycled water does not currently exist in FWDs service area. For future possibilities regarding use of recycled water, refer to Chapter 8 in this UWMP 2000.

d Provided by Forestville Water District and assumes that delivery infrastructure is completed prior to 2005. e From water use data provided by FWD (Table 4-16).

CHAPTER 4

Water Use

Water Code: 910631. A planshall be adopted in accordance with this chapter and shall do all of the following:

910631 (e) (1) Quartify, to the extert records are available, past and current water use, over the same five-year increments described in subdivision (a), and projected water use, identifying the uses among water use sectors including, but not necessarily limited to, all of the following uses:

- (A) Single-family residential; (B) Multifamily; (C) Commercial; (D) Industrial; (E) Institutional and governmental; (F) Landscape; (G) Sales to other agencies; (H) Saline water intrusion barriers, groundwater recharge, or conjunctive use, or any combination thereof; and (I) Agricultural.
- (2) The water use projections shall be in the same 5-year increments to 20 years or as far as data is available.

PAST, CURRENT AND PROJECTED WATER USE

The pæt, current and projected wholesale water distributed by the Agency is shown in Table 4 - 1. The historical water distributed in water year 1980 and 1985 was 32,069 and 40,956 acre-feet, respectively. The annual rate of increase from water years 1990 through 2000 was approximately 1.7 percent.

	Wholes		le 4 – 1 ¹ Distribution	(acre-feet)			
Distribution	1990	onoma Cou 1995	nty Water A 2000	gency 2005	2010	2015	2020
Water Contractors	46,366ª	47,974ª	51,751 °	59,692	68,502°	70,094 ^b	70,824
Other users ²	5,073 ^c	5,670°	8,941 °	10,378 °	11,458°	12,650°	13,967°
Total	51,439°	53,644 °	60,692 ^e	70,070	79,960	82,744	84,791

^a Actual water year delivery from Agency billing records for water contractors.

Tables 4 - 2 through 4 - 16, presented on the following pages, contain information for each of the Agency's water contractors regarding past, current and projected water use and connections per water-use sector. In some case, as noted in the table or text, information is unknown or otherwise unavailable.

^{*&#}x27;Sum of water contractors projected water supplies to be purchased from Agency (Tables 3 - 2 through 3 - 9). `Calculated as difference between total and distribution to water contractors.

^d Projections assume an approximate 2 percent annual increase from the water year 2000 base through water year 2020.

^e Actual water year delivery from Agency billing records for water contractors and other users.

¹ Table 4-1 is adapted from Example Table 3 in "2000 Urban Water Management Planning Act Checklist and Worksheets," published by the California Department of Water Resources.

² Other users that the Agency has an obligation to deliver water to include, but are not limited to, Marin Municipal Water

CITY OF SANTA ROSA

Table 4 - 2 s' the available water end-use data provided by the City of Santa Rosa (Santa Rosa). The projections ar 3d on the "Santa Rosa Water Supply Analysis - Draft January 2001" by West Yost and Associates. Year 2005 data is based on the low range demand projections; projections for the years 2010 - 2020

are based on the mid-point of the low projections and high projections of this study. Recycled landscape use iS based upon a projection of anticipated recycled water projects. Santa Rosa currently has a city park and several non-residential landscapes on recycled water. A preliminary assessment indicates that irrigation with recycled water of additional urban landscapes maybe feasible. Santa Rosa will be evaluating their service area for the most cost effective reuse projects in 2001.

The projections below assume these additional uses.

	Past, C	urrent and I City of	ole 4 – 2 ³ Projected W Santa Ros -feet/year)	later End-Us a	ie.		
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Single mily residential	12,109	11,254	12,098				
Multi-เลmily residential	3,069	3,597	3,468	18,205	20,490	21,865	23,004
Commercial				_		· I.,	
Industrial		Incl	uded in Instit	tutional and (3overnment:	ai	
Institutional and Governmental	I	3,526	3,735				
Landscape	5,923	2,381	2,613	7,256	8,167	8,715	9,169
Sales to other agencies							
Saline barriers			_				
Groundwater recharge			Do	es not apply			
Conjunctive use							
Recycled Landscape	0	0	25	200	400	600	800
Sub-total	21,101	20,758	21,939	25,661	29,057	31,180	32,973
Unaccounted-for losses	1,266	1,245	1,316	1,539	1,743	1,870	1,977
Raw Water Irrigation		Inc	luded Above	as Recycle	d Landscape	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total	22,367	22,003	23,255	27,200	30,800	33,050	34,950

³ Tables 4 - 2 through 4 - 16 are adapted from Tables 4, 6, and 7 in "2000 Urban Water Management Planning Act Checklist and Worksheets," published by the California Department of Water Resources.

Table 4 - 3 shows the projected number of connections to Santa Rosa's water distribution system, by sector. Information was provided by the City of Santa Rosa, as available. Connection numbers for the year 2000 are based on actual accounts in service.

N	umber of C	Table 4 Connection City of San	s by Custo	mer Type			
Customer Type	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Single/ Multi- family residential	33,337	34,700	38,250	41,206	44,391	47,821	51,517
Multi-family residential	1,331	2,664	2,850	3,070	3,308	3,563	3,839
Commercial							
Industrial		Inclu	ded in Instit	tutional and	Governme	ntal	
Institutional and Governmental	3,701	2,632	2,700	2,909	3,133	3,376	3,637
Landscape/recreation	Included above	1,246	1,500	1,616	1,741	1,875	2,020
Fire Services	0	0	760	819	882	950	1,024
Total	38,369	41,242	46,060	49,620	53,455	57,585	62,037

NORTH MARIN WATER DISTRICT

Table 4 - 4 shows the available water end-use data provided by North Marin Water District (NMWD). Data was provided for single-family residential, multi-family residential, commercial, and institutional and governmental uses. Multi-family residential includes townhouses/condominiums, apartments and mobile homes. NMWD has no industrial account classifications and landscape is included with institutional and governmental use. Raw water irrigation includes service to Indian Valley Gdf Course and the County of Marin's Stafford Lake Park

	. Past, Ci	urrent and l North Mar	ble 4 – 4 ⁴ Projected W in Water Di -feet/year)	later End-Us strict	se)
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Single family residential	5,718	5,503	6,345	7,364	7,642	7,870	7,676
Multi-family residential	1,391	1,389	1,627	2,000	2,199	2,387	2,454
Commercial	1,033	1,070	1,675	2,100	2,348	2,600	2,722
Industrial							
Institutional and Governmental	894	843	370	445	479	512	517
Landscape							
Sales to other agencies	······································						
Saline barriers							
Groundwater recharge			D	+			
Conjunctive use			D	oes not apply	•		
Agriculture							
Sub-total	9,036	8,805	10,017	11,909	12,668	13,369	13,369
್ಷaccounted-for losses	571	924	907	1,135	1,268	1,403	1,403
Raw Water Irrigation	359	206	250	250	250	250	250
Total	9,966	9,935	11,174	13,294	14,186	15,022	15,022

Table 4 - 5 shows the total number of equivalent single-family dwelling units projected to be served by NMWDs water distribution system through 2020. Projections were provided by NMWD and were not available by customer class.

North Marin Water District Customer Type 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020	Customen Tune						
		T	T		0040	0045	2000

⁴ The data in Table 4- 4 is from NMWD's water demand forecast based on development projections identified in the Marin Countywide Plan (Novato Planning Area and City of Novato General Plan). Historical increases in total water demand in NMWD's service area over the past decade have been less than 1 percent per year. The State Department of Health Services Report (Appendix a), which projects water use in 2020 to be12,454 AF, has used the historical data to project future water demand without incorporating the development projections that were used in NMWD's water demand forecast.

CITY OF PETALUMA

Table 4 - 6 shows the available water end-use data provided by the City of Petaluma. The State Department of Health Services has projected an approximate 2% annual rate of growth for Petaluma and a corresponding demand of 13,900 AF for the year 2010. A 2% rate of growth would result in a projection of 16,945 acre-feet for the year 2020.

	Table 4 – 6 Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use City of Petaluma (acre-feet/year)											
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020					
Single family residential	4,957	5,240	6,286	6,717	7,182	7,499	7,834					
Multi-family residential	582	592	824	880	942	983	1,027					
Commercial	1,766	1,690	2,473	2,642	2,826	2,950	3,082					
Industrial	101	101 422 309 330 353 369										
Institutional and Governmental	520	507	412	440	471	491	513					
Landscape												
Sales to other agencies	l •		_									
Saline barriers			Do	es not apply	/							
Groundwater recharge												
Agriculture					•							
Sub-total	7,926	8,451	10,304	11,009	11,774	12,292	12,841					
Unaccounted-for losses	644	865	896	957	1,024	1,069	1,117					
Total	8,570	9,316	11,200	11,996	12,798	13,361	13,958					

Table 4 - 7 shows the projected number of connections to Petaluma's water distribution system, by sector. Information was provided by the City of Petaluma, as available, and assumes a declining annual growth rate in all customer types over time as the inventory of vacant land within Petaluma declines. The State Department of Health Services provided historic information for 1993 and 1995.

Table 4 - 7 Number of Connections by Customer Type City of Petaluma												
Customer Type	1993	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020					
Single/ Multi- family residential			16,181	17,432	18,779	19,737	20,743					
Multi-family residential			279	301	324	349	376					
Commercial	Breakdo	own not	1,296	1,396	1,504	1,581	1,661					
Industrial	avail	able	27	29	31	33	35					
Institutional and Governmental			148	159	172	181	190					
Other			9	10	10	11	12					
Total	15,575	16,502	17,940	19,327	20,820	21,892	23,017					

CITY OF ROHNERT PARK

Table 4-8 shows the available water end-use data for the City of Rohnert Park Specific information on water use sectors was not provided by Rohnert Park; therefore, no breakdown is shown. The total historic use data for 1993 and 1999 shown below is from the annual reports filed by Rohnert Park with the State Department of Health Services. The total use projections for years 2000 to 2020 are based upon an average annual rate of growth in new connections of 1.08%, which occurred from 1993 through 1999 (8,221 connections to 8,700 connections).

	Past, Ci	irrent and City of	ble 4 – 8 Projected V Rohnert Pa -feet/year)		se				
Water Use Sectors	1993	1999	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020		
Single family residential									
Multi-family residential									
Commercial									
Industrial		Prop	kdown by w	ator uso soot	or not availal	blo			
Institutional and		Died	KdOWII by W	ater use sect	Ui HUL avallal	nie			
Governmental									
Landscape			_						
Sales to other agencies									
Saline barriers									
Groundwater recharge			Б						
Conjunctive use			U	oes not apply	'				
Agriculture									
Sub-total	7,045	7,695	7,778	8,207	8,660	9,138	9,642		
Unaccounted-for losses									
Raw Water Irrigation		Included above							
Other				T					
Total	7,045	7,695	7,778	8,207	8,660	9,138	9,642		

Table 4 - 9 shows the total number of connections projected to be served by Rohnert Parks water distribution system through 2020. Projections are based on the assumption regarding rate of growth stated above.

	N	4 - 9 umber of Co Rohnert	nnections			The State	
Customer Type	1993	1999	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Total	8,221	8,700	8,794	9,279	9,791	10,331	10,901

⁵ California Department of Health Services, Sonoma County Water Adequacy Evaluation (Draft), August 2000. The Final DHS Report is included in Appendix A.

VALLEY OF THE MOON WATER DISTRICT

Table 4 - 10 shows the available water end-use data provided by Valley of the Moon Water District (VOMWD). Single family residential includes all residential service. The breakdown between residential and commercial is based upon a historical average of 85% residential and 15% commercial use. VOMWD use projections are based upon an assumed approximate 0.9% rate of growth. The State Department of Health Services has projected VOMWD's water use at 3,790 AF for the year 2010 based upon a slightlylower annual rate of growth.

	Table 4-10 Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use Valley of the Moon Water District (acre-feet/year)												
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020						
Single family residential													
Mufti-family residential	2,651	2,651 2,741 3,002 3,137 3,273 3,408 3,545											
Commercial													
Industrial							625						
Institutional and Governmental	452	452 484 530 554 577 601											
Landscape	•					-							
Sales to other agencies													
Saline barriers													
Groundwater recharge			D	oes not apply									
Conjunctive use			U	ues not apply									
Agriculture													
Sub-total	3,103 3,225 3,532 3,691 3,850 4,009 4,168												
Unaccounted-for losses	435	3/4°	283	295	308	321	333						
Total	3,538	3,599	3,815	3,986	4,158	4,330	4,501						

Table 4 - 11 shows the projected number of connections to VOM WDs water distribution system, by sector. Information was provided by VOMWD, as available, and assumes average growth of 3% every five years.

Table 4 - 11 Number of Connections by Customer Type Valleyof the Moon Water District											
CustomerType	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020				
Single family residential	5,727	5,921	6,019	6,166	6,321	6,483	6,651				
Mufti-family residential	304	356	396	436	476	516	556				
Commercial											
Industrial	193	198	206	214	220	226	232				
Institutional and Governmental	150	130	200	217	220	220	202				
Landscape/recreation	19	22	27	31	35	39	43				
Tota	6,243	6,497	6,648	6,847	7,052	7,264	7,482				

[£] The apparent decrease in unaccounted for losses may be attributed to replacement of some VOMWD distribution pipes. Page 4-7

CITY OF SONOMA

Table 4 - 12 shows the available water end-use data provided by the City of Sonoma. The water use information is based upon billing information that was obtained from Sonoma's Finance Department. Growth information was obtained from Sonoma's Planning Department and is based upon historical data. Projections are based upon an assumed approximate 2% annual rate of growth. The State Department of Health Services has projected City of Sonoma water use at 2,820 acre-feet for the year 2010 based upon an approximate 1.4% annual rate of growth. This lower rate of growth would result in a projection of 3,240 acre-feet for the year 2020.

Table 4 – 12 Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use City of Sonoma (acre-feet/year)											
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020				
Single family Residential	1,656	1,582	1,787	2,028	2,235	2,442	2,648				
Multi-family residential	1,050	1,562	1,767	2,020	2,235	2,442	2,040				
Commercial	212	201	227	258	284	311	336				
Industrial											
Institutional and	202	197	222	252	278	304	330				
Governmental				1		1					
Landscape	151	137	156	176	194	212	230				
Sales to other agencies											
Saline barriers											
Groundwater recharge			D-								
Conjunctive use			DC	es not apply			1				
Agriculture							İ				
Sub-total	2,221	2,117	2,392	2,714	2,991	3,269	3,544				
Unaccounted-for losses			Inc	luded above							
Total	2,221	2,117	2,392	2,714	2,991	3,269	3,544				

Table 4 - 13 shows historical and projected service connections by customer type. The assumptions upon which these data are based is the same as identified above.

Table 4 - 13 Number of Connections by Customer Type City of Sonoma											
Customer Type	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020				
Single family residential	2 266	2,603	2,652	3,010	3,316	3,622	3,928				
Multi-family residential	2,366	2,003	2,002	3,010	3,310	3,022					
Commercial	301	331	337	383	422	461	499				
Industrial Institutional and Governmental	295	324	330	375	413	451	489				
Landscape/recreation	205	226	231	262	288	315	342				
Total	3,167	3,484	3,550	4,030	4,439	4,849	5,258				

CITY OF COTATI

Table 4 - 14 shows the available water end-use data provided by the City of Cotati. Cotati only provided total water use data; therefore, no breakdown is shown. Cotati's water use projections are based upon an approximate 3% annual rate of growth and were expressed as the peak delivery rate in milions of gallors per day (mgd). These were converted to annual use based upon an assumed ratio of peak delivery rate to annual use of 2.0. The State Department of Health Services has projected City of Cotati water use at 1,300 acre-feet for the year 2010 based upon an approximate 2% annual rate of growth. This rate of growth would result in a projection of 1,585 acre-feet for the year 2020.

		Та	ble 414				
	PsC	City	Projected W of Cotati eet/year)	ater End-Us	e		
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Single family residential							
Multi-family residential							
Commercial							
Industrial		Breakdo	own by water u	is e sector not	available		
Institutional and Governmental		Broard	Swir by water t	35 6 566161 1161	a variable		
Landscap e							
Sales to other agencies							
Saline barriers							
Groundwater recharge			D	and not analy			
Conjunctive use			U	oes not apply			
Agricu Iture							
Sub-total	1,075	9071	1,1781	1,366	1,583	1,836	2,12
	•	-	•	•	•		
Unaccounted-for losses							
Unaccounted-for losses RawWater Irrigation			In	cluded above			

Table 4 - 15 shows the number of connections, by customer type, in 2000. Cotali provided the connections shown below; however, no historical or projection data was provided.

	(Number of Connections		by Custome r Ty	/pe		
Customer Type	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Singlefamilyresidential		•	1,796				
Multi-family residential			95				
Commercial			151				
Industrial	Unavaila			U	navailable/N	ot provided	
Institutional and Governmental	pr	ovided	34				
Landscape/recreation			98				
Total			2,174				

FORESTVILLEWATER DISTRICT

Table 4 - 16 shows the available water end-usedata provided by the Forestville Water District (FWD). FWD use projections are based upon an assumed approximate 0.4% rate of annual growth. This rate is just slightly lower than the 0.5% annual rate of growth in new connections that the State Department of Health Services reported actually occurred in the FWD service area from 1993 to 1999.

	Table 4 – 16 Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use Forestville Water District (acre-feet/year)											
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020					
Single family residential	263	290	. 285	289	292	297	300					
Multi-family residential	19	28	44	47	49	51	53					
Industrial	3	17	20	21	22	22	22					
Commercial												
Institutional and	46	76	81	83	84	86	88					
Governmental												
Landscape		16	18	18	18	19	20					
Sales to other agencies												
Saline barriers												
Groundwater recharge			_									
Conjunctive use			ь	oes not app	У							
Agriculture												
Sub-total	331	427	448	458	465	475	483					
Unaccounted-for losses	47	30	14	13	13	13	13					
Other	93	36	18	18	18	18	18					
Total	471	493	480	489	496	506	514					

Table 4 - 17, shows historical and projected service connections by customer type. Data was provided by the FWD and assumes a 0.4% average annual growth inconnections.

Table 4 - 17 Number of Connections by Customer Type Forestville Water District												
Customer Type	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020					
Single/ Multi-family residential	721	748	763	773	783	793	803					
Multi-family residential	32	57	.59	63	66	69	72					
Commercial	42	44	51	52	53	54	55					
Industrial	3	3	3	3	3	3	3					
Institutional and Governmental	6	8	8	8	8	8	. 8					
Landscape/recreation	0	5	8	8	8	8	8					
Other	35	11	21	20	20	20	20					
Total	839	876	913	927	941	955	969					

CHAPTER 5

Supply and Demand Comparison

Water Code: 910635(a) Every urban water supplier shall include, as part of its urban water management plan, an assessment of the reliability of its water service to its customers during normal, dry, and multiple dry water years. This water supply and demand assessment shall compare the total water supply sources available to the water supplier with the total projected water use over the next 20 years, in five-year increments, for a normal water year, a single dry water year, and multiple dry water years. The water service reliability assessment shall be based upon the information compiled pursuant to Section 10631, including available data from the state, regional, or local agency population projections within the service area of the urban water supplier.

AGENCY SUPPLY AND DEMAND COMPARISON

Table 5 - 1 compares the total water supply available to the Agency's water transmission systemin an average water year with projected total water use over the next 20 years, in five year increments.

Table 5 - 1 ¹ Average Year Projected Supply and Demand Comparison (acre-feet/year) Sonoma County Water Agency							
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020		
Supply totals ²	215,945	214,945	213,945	212,945	211,945		
Demand totals ³	60,692	70,070	79,960	82,744	84,79		
Difference	155,253	144,875	133,985	130,201	127,154		

Table 5 - 2, compares the total water supply available to the Agency's water transmission systemin a single dry water year with projected total water use over the next 20 years, in five-year increments.

¹ Tables 5 - 1 through 5 - 3 are adapted from Table 18 in "2000 Urban Water Management Planning Act Checklist and Worksheets," published by the California Department of Water Resources.

² For 2000 - Calculated as sum of surface water supply available to Agency's transmission system during an average year and reliable capacity of Agency's existing wells. Subsequent five year increments take into account reduced surface water supply due to sedimentation. This information is available in Chapter 3

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ From Table 4 - 1 in Chapter 4.

Projected Sup	Sing oply and De		oarison (acre	=-feet/year)	
	Sonoma Co 2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Supply totals ⁴	90,995	89,995	88,995	87,995	86,995
Demand totals ⁵	60,692	70,070	79,960	82,744	84,791
Difference	30,303	19,925	9,035	5,251	2,204

Table 5 - 3 compares the total water supply available to the Agency's water transmission systemin multiple dry water years with projected total water use over the next 20 years, in five-year increments.

Projected Sup	Mult	able 5 - 3 iple Dry Yea mand Comp		e-feet/year)	
	Sonoma Co 2000	ounty Water 2005	Agency 2010	2015	2020
Supply totals ⁶	130,885	129,885	128,885	127,885	126,88
Demand totals ⁷	60,692	70,070	79,960	82,744	84,79
Difference	70,193	59,815	48,925	45,141	42,094

WATER CONTRACTORS SUPPLY AND DEMAND COMPARISON

This section compares the water supply available to each of the water contractors with projected demands. Supply and demand projections in this section correspond with the projections reported in Chapter 3, Water Supply Sources, and Chapter 4, Water Use. Water supply projections are based upon the estimates of the water contractors, and in some cases, are less than water supply available through the Water Supply and Transmission System Project (WSTSP). End-use demand projections are also based upon the estimates of the water contractors, and in some cases, differ from projections made by the Department of Health Services. For additional discussion of these discrepancies, refer to the text in Chapter 3 and/or Chapter 4 for the water contractor(s) of interest.

From Table 4 -1 in Chapter 4.

Page 5-2

[°]For 2000 - Calculated as sum of surface water supply available to Agency's transmission system during a single dry year and reliable capacity of Agency's existing wells. Subsequent five year increments take into account reduced surface water supply due to sed mentation. This information is available in Chapter 3.

⁵ From Table 4 -1 in Chapter 4. ⁶ From Table 3 - 1 in Chapter 3. ²

CITY OF SANTAROSA

Table 5 - 4 compares the total water supply available to the City of Santa Rosa with estimates of projected demand. No deficits are projected through 2020.

Table 5 – 4 ⁸ Projected Supply and Demand Comparison (acre-feet/year) City of Santa Rosa								
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020			
Supply totals ⁹	23,337	27,200	30,800	33,050	34,950			
Demand totals ¹⁰	23,255	27,200	30,800	33,050	34,950			
Difference	82	0	0	0	0			

NORTH MARIN WATER DISTRICT

Table 5 - 5 compares the total water supply available to North Marin Water District with projected demand. No deficits are projected through 2020.

Projected Sup	ply and De	Table 5 - 5 mand Com arin Water	parison (ac	re-feet/year) Kanada Kanada
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Supply totals ¹¹	11,174	13,294	14,186	15,022	15,022
Demand totals ¹²	11,174	13,294	14,186	15,022	15,022
Difference	0	0	0	0	C

CITY OF PETALUMA

Table 5 - 6 compares the total water supply available to the City of Petaluma with total projected demands. No deficits are projected through 2020.

From Table 4 - 2 in Chapter 4. 11

From Table 3 - 3 in Chapter 3. 12 From

Table 4 - 4 in Chapter 4.

s Tables 5 - 4through 5 - 11 are adapted from Table 18 in "2000 Urban Water Management Planning Act Checklist and Worksheets," published by the California Department of Water Resources.

⁹ From Table 3 - 2 in Chapter 3. ¹⁰

Projected Sup	oply and De	able 5 - 6 mand Comp of Petalum	oarison (acr	e-feet/year)	
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Supply totals ¹³	11,200	11,966	12,798	13,361	13,958
Demand totals ¹⁴	11,200	11,966	12,798	13,361	13,958
Difference	0	0	0	0	0

CITY OF ROHNERT PARK.

Table 5 - 7 compares the total water supply available to the City of Rohnert Park with total projected demands. No deficits are projected through 2020.

Projected Sup	ply and De	able 5 - 7 mand Com f Rohnert I	parison (acr	e-feet/year)	ar Ar Jane
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Supply totals ¹⁵	7,778	8,207	8,660	9,138	9,642
Demand totals ¹⁶	7,778	8,207	. 8,660	9,138	9,642
Difference	0	0	0	0	0

VALLEY OF THEM CONWATER DISTRICT.

Table 5 - 8 compares the total water supply available to Valley of the Moon Water District with total projected demands. No deficits are projected through 2020.

Projected Su	T	able 5 - 8 mand Com Moon Wat	parison (acı er District	re-feet/year)	
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
Supply totals ¹⁷	3,815	3,986	4,158	4,330	4,501
Demand totals ¹⁸	3,815	3,986	4,158	4,330	4,501
Difference	0	0	0	0	0

¹³ Fr . ! Table 3 - 4 in Chapter 3.

14 From Table 4 - 6 in Chapter 4.

15 From Table 3 - 5 in Chapter 3.

16 From Table 4 - 8 in Chapter 4.

17 From Table 3 - 6 in Chapter 3.

¹⁸ From Table 4 - 10 in Chapter 4.

FORESTVILLEW ATER DISTRICT

Table 4 - 16 shows the available water end-use data provided by the Forestville Water District (FWD). FWD use projections are based upon an assumed approximate 0.4% rate of annual growth. This rate is just slightly lower than the 0.5% annual rate of growth in new connections that the State Department of Health Services reported actually occurred in the FWD service area from 1993 to 1999.

Table 4 – 16 Past, Current and Projected Water End-Use Forestville Water District (acre-feet/year)								
Water Use Sectors	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	
Single family residential	263	290	. 285	289	292	297	300	
Multi-family residential	19	28	44	47	49	51	53	
Industrial	3	17	20	21	22	22	22	
Commercial								
Institutional and Governmental	46	76	81	83	84	86	88	
Landscape		16	18	18	18	19	20	
Sales to other agencies						I		
Saline barriers								
Groundwater recharge			_					
Conjunctive use			D	oes not appl	У			
Agriculture								
Sub-total	331	427	448	458	465	475	483	
Unaccounted-for losses	47	30	14	13	13	13	13	
Other	93	36	18	18	18	18	18	
Total	471	493	480	489	496	506	514	

Table 4 - 17, shows historical and projected service connections by customer type. Data was provided by the FWD and assumes a 0.4% average annual growth in connections.

Table 4 - 17 Number of Connections by Customer Type Forestville Water District								
Customer Type	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	
Single/ Multi- family residential	721	748	763	773	783	793	803	
Multi-family residential	32	57	59	63	66	69	72	
Commercial	42	44	51	52	53	54	55	
Industrial	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Institutional and Governmental	6	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Landscape/recreation	0	5	8	8	8	8	8	
Other	35	11	21	20	20	20	20	
Total	839	876	913	927	941	955	969	