Russian River Flows Forum Guerneville Vets January 22, 2002

IT'S MY GREAT PLEASURE TO BE WITH YOU HERE
TONIGHT TO DISCUSS THE FUTURE OF THE
RUSSIAN RIVER AND THE PEOPLE AND
CREATURES THAT DEPEND ON IT.

TONIGHT YOU WILL HEAR FROM THOSE WHO
PROPOSED OR SUPPORT A PLAN TO REDUCE
FLOWS IN THE RIVER BY AS MUCH AS 80
PERCENT IN THE SUMMER IN ORDER TO IMPROVE
HABITAT FOR ENDANGERED SALMON.

AND TONIGHT YOU WILL HEAR THE EXPERT
TESTIMONY FROM PEOPLE WHO DISAGREE WITH
THIS PLAN.

MOST IMPORTANTLY, THIS MEETING WILL
PROVIDE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR OUR AUDIENCE
TO ASK QUESTIONS.

WE ARE **NOT** HERE TONIGHT TO DEBATE THE ENVIRONMENT VS. THE ECONOMY. I THINK THAT EVERYONE IN THIS ROOM REALIZES THAT IN THE LONG RUN, A HEALTHY ECONOMY DEPENDS ON A HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT.

OUR PURPOSE IS TO GET ANSWERS TO ONE

MAJOR QUESTION: WILL THIS PLAN REALLY

WORK? WILL IT SIGNIFICANTLY HELP TO
RESTORE THE RUSSIAN RIVER'S THREATENED
SALMON AND STEELHEAD FISHERY?

I REALIZE THAT THE STAKES ARE ENORMOUS. A
HEALTHY RUSSIAN RIVER WILL ENSURE THE
SURVIVAL OF THREE GREAT SPECIES OF FISH,
ENHANCE THE RIVER'S RECREATIONAL
OPPORTUNITIES, AND SAFEGUARD THE WATER
SUPPLY FOR 500,000 PEOPLE IN THREE COUNTIES.

BUT, IF THIS PLAN IS FLAWED, SOME SAY WE
COULD LOSE OUR REMAINING RUNS OF COHO
AND CHINOOK SALMON AND THE RIVER'S
FAMED STEELHEAD, AND PERHAPS MANY
OTHER SPECIES AS WELL. AND IT CAN BE

ARGUED THAT THE LOCAL ECONOMY AND A
WAY OF LIFE THAT DEPENDS ON IT IS ALSO AT
RISK.

WE CAN ILL AFFORD TO EXPERIMENT. WE CAN ILL-AFFORD TO MAKE MISTAKES.

AS WITH DEALING WITH OTHER ENDANGERED

SPECIES LIKE THE TIGER SALAMANDER, WE NEED

THE BEST POSSIBLE SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION.

I KNOW THAT EVERYONE IN THIS AUDIENCE HAS QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FLOW REDUCTION PLAN AND ITS CONSEQUENCES.

I THINK THAT MANY OF YOU WILL WANT TO KNOW IF IT MAKES SENSE TO TURN BACK THE CLOCK ON THE RUSSIAN RIVER TO MIMIC ITS HISTORICAL FLOWS WITHOUT DEALING WITH OTHER HUMAN IMPACTS OF THE LAST 50 TO 100 YEARS.

DO WE KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, IF REDUCING
FLOWS IN OTHER SIMILAR RIVERS HAS HAD
BENEFICIAL RESULTS FOR WILDLIFE? WILL A
LOW FLOW RIVER BE COOL ENOUGH FOR
SALMON WHEN MUCH OF ITS RIPARIAN SHADE IS
GONE AND ITS CREEKS ARE TEMPERATURE
IMPAIRED? CAN WE EXPECT POLLUTANTS AND
NUTRIENTS OOZING OUT OF THE LAGUNA DE
SANTA ROSA TO DILUTE HARMLESSLY IN THE

RIVER'S SUMMER TRICKLE? WILL SKIM GRAVEL MINING CLOUD THE RIVER WITH SEDIMENTS?

WE MUST ALSO CONSIDER THE PLAN'S EFFECTS ON THOSE WHO LIVE AND WORK HERE. WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO THE TOURIST ECONOMY IF CANOERS AND BOATERS CAN NO LONGER NAVIGATE THE RIVER? WILL THERE BE INCREASING SPIKES OF BACTERIA ON FAVORITE BEACHES RESULTING IN CLOSURES? WILL LOW FLOWS AFFECT WELLS THAT DRAW WATER FROM BENEATH THE RIVER'S GRAVEL BED? IF THE TOURIST ECONOMY DOES A BELLY FLOP. WILL THE LOWER RIVER AREA BECOME JUST ANOTHER SUBURB, MAKING MORE DEMANDS ON THE RIVER -- AND HOW WILL THAT AFFECT THE FISH?

AGAIN, IT IS NOT A BLACK AND WHITE ISSUE OF FISH SURVIVAL VS. THE LOCAL ECONOMY. BUT WE HAVE TO ASK IF THERE ARE OTHER ALTERNATIVES THAT MAY DO A BETTER JOB TO RESTORE THE RIVER'S FISHERY WITH LESS RISK TO BOTH THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE LOCAL ECONOMY?

WE ALSO NEED TO KNOW IF THE LOW FLOW PROPOSAL IS BEING CONSIDERED IN THE CONTEXT OF OTHER MAJOR CHANGES IN THE WATERSHED? HOW WILL LOW FLOWS AFFECT RESTORATION EFFORTS; PLANS TO INCREASE

STORAGE AT COYOTE DAM; AND PROPOSALS TO DRAW MORE WATER FROM THE WATERSHED AND RELEASE MORE WASTEWATER INTO IT?

AND, I THINK THAT ALL RESIDENTS OF SONOMA
COUNTY DESERVE TO KNOW IF THE EXTRA
WATER THAT WOULD BE STORED BEHIND WARM
SPRINGS DAM WILL BE USED FOR ADDITIONAL
GROWTH.

I'M NOT SECOND-GUESSING THE ENDANGERED
SPECIES ACT ... I KNOW THAT THIS PROPOSAL
HAS A LONG WAY TO GO BEFORE IT IS EVER
IMPLEMENTED. IT MUST PASS THE SCRUTINY OF
AT LEAST THREE FEDERAL AGENCIES, (NMFS,
USEPA AND USACE), BE SUBJECT TO PUBLIC

COMMENT, AND THEN BE APPROVED BY THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD.

TONIGHT, OUR PANELISTS AND THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE, HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BECOME PART OF THIS PROCESS. YOU WILL BE ASKING AND ANSWERING SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT I POSE AND MANY MORE.

NOW LET ME TELL YOU WHAT I, AS YOUR
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, EXPECT AS THIS
PROCESS GOES FORWARD:

➤ FIRST, I EXPECT THOROUGH, SCIENCE-BASED ANSWERS TO YOUR QUESTIONS. IF THE ANSWER IS NOT AT HAND, THERE MUST BE AN OPPORTUNITY IN THE FUTURE TO PROVIDE ANSWERS.

- ➤ IF FURTHER RESEARCH IS NEEDED TO
 PROVIDE ANSWERS TO SIGNIFICANT
 SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS, THEN
 PROPONENTS OF THE LOW FLOW PLAN
 HAVE THE OBLIGATION TO DO IT.
- ➤ TRANSPARENCY MUST BE ADHERED TO

 THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS AND ALL

 DECISION-MAKING MEETINGS SHOULD BE

 OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

➤ FINALLY, AN INDEPENDENT AND

UNBIASED PANEL OF SCIENTIST MUST

REVIEW ALL INFORMATION LEADING TO

A DECISION. THIS IS THE PROCESS THAT

HAS BEGUN WITH THE REDEVELOPMENT

DISTRICT STUDY, AND IT MUST

CONTINUE AS NEW INFORMATION IS

BROUGHT FORWARD.

LET ME PLEDGE TONIGHT THAT I WILL BE DOING
AS MUCH AS I CAN TO ENSURE THAT THIS WILL
BE THE CRITERION BY WHICH THE LOW FLOW
PROPOSAL IS JUDGED.

SEVERAL YEARS AGO IN WASHINGTON, D.C., I
WAS INVITED TO SAY A FEW WORDS ON THE
OCCASION OF THE RUSSIAN RIVER BEING NAMED
BY AMERICAN RIVERS AS ONE OF THE NATION'S
MOST THREATENED RIVERS. I SAID THEN, "WE
HAVE TAKEN SO MUCH FROM THIS RIVER, AND
NOW WE MUST ALL GIVE SOMETHING BACK."

THOSE WORDS REMAIN TRUE TODAY. I HONOR
THE WORK OF HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE IN
SCHOOLS; WATERSHED GROUPS;
ENVIRONMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS;
AGRICULTURE AND GOVERNMENT WHO ARE
VOLUNTEERING THOUSANDS OF HOURS TO
RESTORE THE RIVER AND ITS WATERSHED.
THEY KNOW THAT SAVING THE RUSSIAN RIVER

INVOLVES COMMITMENT, SACRIFICES, AND PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT.

ANYONE WHO HAS WORKED TO RESTORE THIS
RIVER KNOWS THAT THE WAY WE MANAGE IT
MUST CHANGE, SO THAT ITS HUMAN USES ARE IN
HARMONY WITH ITS NATURAL FUNCTIONS.
THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE MUST JOURNEY
BACK TO THE NATURAL, PRISTINE RIVER OF THE
PRIMEVAL PAST. BUT I'M CONFIDENT THAT THE
BEST SCIENCE COMBINED WITH OUR BEST
EFFORTS CAN RESULT IN A CLEAN, HEALTHY
RUSSIAN RIVER THRIVING WITH LIFE.

THANK YOU.