

TRAFFIC FATALITIES AND INJURIES: THE EFFECT OF CHANGES IN INFRASTRUCTURE AND OTHER TRENDS

Robert B. Noland

Centre for Transport Studies
Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine
London, SW7 2BU

Phone: 011-44-207-594-6036

Fax: 011-44-207-594-6102

Email: r.noland@ic.ac.uk

<http://cts.cv.ic.ac.uk>

Revised: January 25, 2002

Abstract

An analysis of how various road infrastructure improvements affect traffic-related fatalities and injuries is conducted while controlling for other factors known to affect overall safety. The road infrastructure elements analysed include total lane miles, the fraction of lane miles in different road categories (interstates, arterial, and collector roads), the average number of lanes for each road category, and lane widths for arterials and collector roads. Other variables that are controlled for in the study include total population, population age cohorts, per capita income, per capita alcohol consumption, seat-belt legislation (and seat-belt usage), and a proxy variable that represents underlying changes in medical technology. The data used is a cross-sectional time series database of U.S. states and is analysed using a fixed effects negative binomial regression that accounts for heterogeneity in the data. Data from all 50 states over 14 years is used. Results strongly refute the hypothesis that infrastructure improvements have been effective at reducing total fatalities and injuries. While controlling for other effects it is found that demographic changes in age cohorts, increased seat-belt use, reduced alcohol consumption and increases in medical technology have accounted for a large share of overall reductions in fatalities.

Key words: Transport safety, Infrastructure, Engineering design, Medical Technology, Seat-belt usage

Introduction

The upgrading of road infrastructure has normally been seen as a technique for reducing fatalities and injuries associated with traffic crashes. Historical trends would tend to support this viewpoint as fatalities per mile travelled have declined substantially over the last 30-40 years in the U.S. This has coincided with the construction of the Interstate highway system and changes in engineering standards that have resulted in roads that generally have fewer curves, fewer roadside hazards, and both wider travel lanes and more travel lanes.

Conventional traffic engineering would not question the assumption that “safer” and newer roads reduce fatalities. However, this type of approach tends to ignore behavioral reactions to safety improvements that may off-set fatality reduction goals. For example, if a two lane road is expanded to four lanes this could potentially reduce the risk of head-on collisions but may also result in many drivers travelling at higher speeds, potentially leading to no gains in safety. Of course, increased speeds allow increased mobility benefits even if the costs associated with crashes are not reduced.

Micro-scale analysis of specific safety improvements may show that various crash types can be reduced by road improvements. This type of analysis will not, however, show what the system-wide effects¹ on total fatalities and injuries may be, nor will it necessarily control for other effects and changes that occur simultaneously over time, such as demographic changes or increased seat-belt usage. A review of the road safety literature reveals that many studies do not control for time, thus potentially biasing the results of their analyses. As will be shown, those studies that have used time series data generally find results similar to the results of this paper. Many studies also aggregate fatal and injury crashes without specifying how various policies may result in different outcomes on each.

¹ System-wide effects are defined to include interactions between the road infrastructure, the vehicle, and the behavior of the driver.

This paper uses aggregate state-wide data on fatalities and injuries to determine whether road infrastructure has been beneficial in reducing fatalities and injuries. Several variables are used to define road infrastructure. These are total lane miles, the average number of lanes for alternative road classes, the lane widths for alternative road classes, and the fractional percent of each road class within a given state. Changes in horizontal curvature, shoulder widths, the separation of lanes with medians, and the presence of roadside hazards, are not examined. However, one would expect new lane miles constructed over time to have fewer of these characteristics than older infrastructure. Thus the lane mile variable serves as a proxy to represent these “improvements” in road design. Cross-sectional time-series data is used in a fixed effect negative binomial regression analysis to analyze the impact of these infrastructure variables. This technique controls for unmeasured variables that may also be affecting the dependent variable.

The underlying engineering hypothesis is that road infrastructure “improvements” will reduce both fatalities and injuries. However, it is not found that this hypothesis can be supported. Results actually tend to suggest the counter-intuitive hypothesis that these type of road “safety improvements” actually lead to statistically significant, though small, increases in total fatalities and injuries, all else equal. This result has also been suggested by other recent research using aggregate safety data, which is reviewed in the next section.

Having found this counter-intuitive result other factors that may have led to the observed decreases in total traffic-related fatalities are analysed and controlled for in the analyses. Changes in demographics, measured by changes in age cohorts are found to have a large effect, due primarily to fewer young people and more elderly people. Improvements in medical technology, measured using white infant mortality rates as a proxy variable, is found to be statistically significant. Increased seat-belt usage and reduced alcohol consumption also has had a major effect on reducing fatalities.

The paper is organized as follows. A brief review of relevant literature on some previous empirical analysis that supports the counter-intuitive hypothesis is presented. Trends in the data are then examined. This is followed by the estimation of several models and a discussion of the results. Conclusions and implications for transport and safety policy are then discussed.

Literature Review and Theoretical Background

Much of the research in highway safety and the relationship to infrastructure (or geometric design) has focussed on specific design elements and attempts to quantify their accident reduction potential (Transportation Research Board, 1987; McGee et al, 1995). Much of this previous research has focussed on calculating “accident reduction factors” associated with “improvements” in specific design elements. The Transportation Research Board (1987) evaluated much of the existing literature and modelling efforts to develop accident reduction factors. Various gaps in knowledge were identified but the report generally concluded that new and better design standards were leading to safety improvements.

The National Cooperative Highway Research Program (McGee et al., 1995) attempted to fill some of the identified gaps in knowledge and produced a number of new modelling results. All these models, however, do not control for other effects and do not consider system-wide impacts. Many also fail to distinguish between the severity of different crash types which is crucial information needed for cost benefit analysis.

One problem with much of the early work in this area was inadequate statistical modelling. For example, many studies used simple ordinary least squares estimation which assumes a normal distribution in the data. Accident data, which consists of the number of accidents, or count data, is poisson distributed. Miaou & Lum (1993) discuss many of these statistical issues and conclude that either a poisson or negative binomial regression possesses more desirable statistical properties for estimating these type of models. In particular, the

negative binomial distribution accounts for overdispersion in the data and overcomes the limitations of the poisson distribution which assumes the mean is equal to the variance.

Much of the review that follows, therefore, focuses on more recent work that has generally used more appropriate statistical models (as opposed to the work in the Transportation Research Board (1987) special report that pre-dated many of these studies).

Vogt and Bared (1998) evaluate changes in design parameters for two lane rural roads using data from the Highway Safety Information System. Using a population of highway segments for two states (Washington and Minnesota) they derive detailed statistical models linking design elements to both total crashes and more serious crashes involving a fatality or injury (however, not disaggregating between these two). The results of their modelling support the conventional engineering hypothesis. For example, they find that increasing lane widths and less horizontal curvature reduces total crashes. While using time-series data they do not appear to control for time in their model, nor other factors that may change over time. They acknowledge the limitations of their model and that various key variables may be omitted. The lack of controlling for time series effects, as well as cross-sectional effects, is likely to bias the results of this study. Hauer (2001) demonstrates that the use of unequal road segments and the assumption of constant overdispersion could also bias the results of studies such as this.

Aggregate data analysis has allowed other factors in addition to infrastructure related factors to be analysed. Fridstrom & Ingebrigsten (1991) estimate a model using monthly data on traffic accidents for 18 counties in Norway. They find that extensions and improvements to the national road network do not have the expected effect of improving safety. They also find that more congested roads leads to fewer casualties. This study used time-series data to control for many different causal factors that also contribute to crashes. Karlaftis & Tarko (1998) analyze time-series county level data from the state of Indiana and find that increased

road mileage leads to increased accidents. Both these studies use aggregate cross-sectional time-series data and a negative binomial regression similar to the analysis presented here.

Milton & Mannering (1998) find similar results using data from the State of Washington. While they find that increased average annual daily traffic leads to an increase in accidents, they also find that when the percent of this traffic at the peak increases, then accidents decrease (i.e., congestion leads to reduced accidents).

Milton & Mannering (1998) also examine various geometric design elements for principal arterials. They find that increasing the number of lanes on a given road segment, leads to more accidents and that in Eastern Washington, narrower “substandard” lane widths (of less than 3.5 metres or 11.5 ft) reduce accident frequency. They also found that horizontal curvature does not by itself increase accidents but was dependent upon whether large straight sections preceded the curves. While this latter result supports the hypothesis that reducing horizontal curvature reduces accidents, it does suggest that roads with extensive curvature may not necessarily be less safe than straighter roads. Milton & Mannering (1998) do not control for any time series or demographic effects in their study.

Vitaliano and Held (1991) also find that more lanes leads to more crashes, though they use only cross-sectional data in their analyses. Sawalha & Sayed (2001) also find an association between the number of lanes and increased crashes on arterials.

Shankar et al. (1995) estimated a series of negative binomial regression models in a study of the Interstate 91 corridor in Washington state. They found that when curves are spaced further apart (i.e., fewer curves per mile) there is an increase in more severe overturning accidents. This same study also found that highway segments that have curves with lower design speeds result in fewer accidents relative to those with higher design speeds; though the presence of snowfall tended to increase accidents on those segments with curves of lower design speeds. Shankar et al. (1995) found that those accidents attributable to

curves of lower design speeds tended to be less severe than those associated with curves of higher design speeds.

Abdel-Aty & Radwan (2000) found that ‘improvements’ in geometric design variables reduce accidents. These included the degree of horizontal curvature and shoulder, lane and median widths. They estimated a negative binomial regression model with road segment data from an arterial highway in Florida. One problem with this study (other than the lack of control for time and demographic effects) is that it does not control for repeated observations (that is, multiple sampling of accidents from each segment). Shankar et al. (1995) do control for this by including section-specific constants in their models. This could perhaps account for the very different results shown by these two studies.

Ivan et al. (2000) using data from Connecticut found that link segments with larger shoulder widths have more single-vehicle crashes, but do not explore this result in detail.

Council & Stewart (1999) analysed the safety effects of converting two lane rural roads to either four lane divided roads or four lane undivided roads. They found some significant reduction in accidents for the conversion to divided roads but less significant results for undivided roads. They consider their research preliminary and inconclusive; however, it does suggest that while specific improvements such as separating lanes (or installing medians) may be relatively effective, merely adding more lanes is not. Hadi et al. (1995) analysed specific road improvements such as increasing shoulder and lane widths and found some effectiveness for these treatments. A study by Porter & England (2000) found that red-light running was more likely at intersections with more lanes, this could imply that the likelihood of a crash at these intersections may be greater.

Increased congestion levels have often been assumed to lead to increased risk for drivers. This would imply that infrastructure changes or capacity increases that reduce congestion and increase flow would lead to reductions in risk. For example, wider lanes are

acknowledged to lead to increases in vehicle speeds and hence are effectively adding capacity (Transportation Research Board, 1987). Zhou & Sisiopiku (1997) analyze a specific highway link in Michigan and find that the relationship between the volume/capacity ratio and accidents follows a U-shaped curve; initially as the ratio increases, accidents decrease, then turn up again at higher congestion levels. More importantly, fatal accidents were found to decrease consistently with higher congestion levels. This is not a surprising result since speeds will be lower under congested conditions. One would expect more minor vehicle interactions (i.e., fender benders) under congested conditions, but fewer fatalities. Dickerson et al. (2000) show this result by modelling London accidents with total vehicle flow data. They find that generally, marginal accident rates increase with increased flow, though they did not disaggregate by level of severity and speculate that this could result in a different result. Ivan et al. (2000) in a study of link-segments in Connecticut found that single-vehicle crash rates are highest when volume-capacity ratios are low, but found no effect for multi-vehicle crashes.

Shefer & Rietveld (1997) argue that the benefits of congestion reduction must be offset by higher accident costs. They present some empirical data to support their hypothesis, but do not control for other factors. Currently, most justifications for highway projects assume lower accident costs with decreasing congestion.

To a large extent the idea that both increased capacity and infrastructure improvements may lead to increased risk can be explained by behavioral responses from drivers. Many infrastructure improvements tend to make the driving task less taxing such that the driver may reduce the level of concentration needed to maintain the same level of safety. Mahalel & Szternfeld (1986) hypothesized that improved engineering standards influence driver perceptions due to simplification of the driving task, resulting in an underestimation of the difficulties of the driving task. The net result could be an increase in

accidents. Mahalel & Szternfeld (1986) provide some illustrative examples of how this could occur.

Most road improvements also allow greater speeds. This could be another underlying factor that explains the results that are found. Some of the behavioural responses discussed in the literature on risk compensation (Peltzman, 1975) and risk homeostasis (Wilde, 1982) touch on these issues, though this is clearly a controversial area.

No literature appears to have analysed the impact of medical technology improvements on fatalities and injuries. Lave (1985) used hospitals per square mile to attempt to account for access to medical services (in the event of a crash). This would serve to control for rural areas being less accessible to fast medical care for emergencies. He found this variable to be significant, though his analysis suffers from not controlling for either cross-sectional or time-series effects.

The model developed below uses white infant mortality rates as a proxy for medical technology. This does not appear to have been studied within the safety literature. However, there is a strand of literature that hypothesized that high aggression levels in society lead to increased traffic fatalities. To examine this hypothesis Sivak (1983) correlated homicide rates and fatality rates from other accidents with vehicle fatality rates. This was done using one year of data at the state level, thus it does not control for either cross-sectional or time-series effects. Nevertheless, Sivak (1983) found a correlation between homicide fatality rates and traffic fatality rates. He also found a correlation with fatality rates from other accidents. It is possible that these correlations are merely driven by underlying differences in medical technology between states.

It is clear from a review of the relevant safety literature that most analyses have not controlled for time-series and cross-sectional effects. Two exceptions are Fridstrom & Ingebrigsten (1991) and Karlaftis & Tarko (1998) who found results that question whether

new infrastructure (represented by new lane miles) leads to reduced fatalities. Yet many of the other studies, such as Milton & Mannering (1998) have results suggesting that conventional engineering wisdom may be suspect. The large literature on risk compensation also suggests counter-intuitive results but has not focussed on road design variables. In general, none of these studies have highlighted their unexpected results, but taken as a whole, certainly suggest that conventional hypotheses that road “improvements” improve safety should be reevaluated. The analysis presented below evaluates these issues, but first the next section discusses the data used, various trends in the data, and the estimation methodology used.

Data, Trends, and Methodology

To analyze the relationship between road infrastructure and safety a cross-sectional time-series data base was collected for all 50 U.S. states over 14 years (from 1984 to 1997). This data was collected from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Highway Statistics series (see, for example, US DOT, 1998). The fatality data was available for every state over all 14 years (for a total of 700 observations). The injury data had some omissions for some states and years giving a total of 657 observations. Figure 1 shows trends in total US traffic fatalities and injuries between 1967 and 1995. Total fatalities have generally been decreasing over this time period while total injuries have shown an upward trend, though when calculated as a rate per vehicle miles of travel (VMT) both have decreased over time. It should be noted that the fatality data is generally quite accurate as it is based on the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s (NHTSA) Fatal Accident Reporting System (FARS). The injury data tends to be less accurate and is based on NHTSA’s General Estimates System (GES) which is based on a sampling of injury accidents in the US.

Data on road infrastructure included total lane miles (excluding local roads), average number of lanes by functional road category (interstates, arterials, and collectors), percent of

center-line miles with a given lane width by road category, and the fractional percent of each road category in a given state (including local roads within the denominator). Interstates are controlled access highways built to the most rigorous and consistent design standards.

Arterials are generally major multi-lane or intercity roads, perhaps with some controlled access, but generally not. These also tend to be major connector roads within cities and suburban areas. Collector roads are smaller scale roads that generally connect local distributor roads with arterials.

Trends in each of these variables, for the entire US, between 1985 and 1997, are displayed in Table 1. In general, these trends show that policies aimed at upgrading the design of road infrastructure have been very effective. We see that total lane miles (excluding local roads) have grown marginally over this time period. The average number of lanes on interstates and arterials has grown slightly while there has been virtually no change in the average number of lanes on collectors. In general, there are more lane miles of higher functional classification, with the percent of interstate lane miles growing by 5.65% and the percent of arterial lane miles growing by 8.62%. This has been at the expense of the percent of collector lane miles which have shrunk by 4.00%. The changes in arterial and collector lane widths have been most dramatic. The percent of arterials with lane widths of 9 ft or less has decreased by 48.33% while arterials with lane widths of 12 ft or greater have increased by 10.76%. Some 67% of arterials already had 12 ft or greater lane widths in 1985 and this fraction increased to 74% by 1997. A similar trend is apparent for collector road lane widths, with a move towards more roads with wider 11 or 12 ft lanes and fewer with 9 ft or 10 ft lanes. Obviously, a casual interpretation of these trends and those for total fatalities would suggest that as we have upgraded highway facilities, we have reduced fatalities.

In addition, estimates of seat-belt usage, by state, were used to control for the effects of increased seat-belt use. This data was only available since 1990. The effects of seat-belt

use are also controlled for using dummy variables for those states with either primary or secondary seat-belt laws (described further below).

Data on total population, VMT, per capita income, alcohol consumption and population by age cohorts was also collected. These are used in the models discussed below primarily to control for other factors that are likely to affect fatalities and injuries.

The method selected to estimate the effects of these variables on total fatalities is the fixed-effects overdispersion model developed by Hausman et al. (1984).² This procedure uses a negative binomial distribution which has been acknowledged as the correct distribution to use for count data such as the generation of traffic-related fatalities (Karlaftis & Tarko, 1998). Hausman et al.'s (1984) method has the additional benefit of accounting for heterogeneity in the data. This is done by conditioning the joint probability of the counts for each group upon the sum of the counts for the group. This differences out the dispersion parameter for each group and allows the analyst to account for heterogeneity between groups. That is, lack of information on other factors that may influence the dependent variable does not result in biased estimates.

The number of fatalities (or injuries), y_i , for a given time period, t , is defined by the negative binomial probability mass function:

$$P(Y = y_i) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{a_i} + y_i\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) y_i!} \left(\frac{1}{1 + a_i \mathbf{I}_{it}}\right)^{\frac{1}{a_i}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + a_i \mathbf{I}_{it}}\right)^{y_i}$$

where a_i is the rate of overdispersion for each group and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. In this formulation the dependent variable, \mathbf{I}_{it} , is defined for each group over a given time series.

The model can be written as:

² See also Cameron & Trivedi (1998) for a good discussion of these models.

$$I_{it} = e^{b'x_{it}} \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad t = 1, \dots, T_i$$

The independent variables, x_{it} are defined over N cross-sectional units and over T time periods. The parameter b is estimated by maximum likelihood estimation.

Another method, known as the random effects model, assumes that the inverse of the overdispersion parameter varies randomly between groups with a beta distribution. This method assumes that the random effects are uncorrelated with the regressors, while the fixed effect model does not make this assumption. If this assumption does not hold then the fixed effects model would provide consistent coefficient estimates while the random effects model would not. Hausman et al. (1984) show that the Hausman specification test can be used to test which model is more appropriate. For the data analyzed here it was found that the hypothesis of a fixed effects model could not be rejected in all but one case.

These statistical methods provide several advantages over previous studies. Lave (1989) criticizes the use of aggregate data in accident analysis. He compares results using statewide data for all highway types with data disaggregated by highway type and shows different results on key policy variables. His analysis, however, uses a one-year cross-section of data and hence cannot adequately control for the many other factors that may influence the model. Likewise, Loeb (1987) uses aggregate data with various socio-economic variables to analyze fatality rates. While showing several formulations that suggest robust results, the use of a one-year cross-section cannot control for heterogeneity in the data for the various states.³ Fridstrom and Ingebrigsten (1991) point out that the key advantage of using aggregate data is that it can capture effects such as blackspot migration which could be potentially lost using disaggregate data (Boyle & Wright, 1984). Despite this, the studies of Loeb (1987) and other work criticized by Lave (1989) are probably not deficient for the use of aggregate data, but

³ Loeb (1987) identifies three policy variables that may affect fatality rates. These are statewide beer consumption, whether or not the state has a vehicle inspection program, and speed. Interestingly, he finds that highway miles are not significant.

rather for the use of inadequate statistical techniques that do not account for heterogeneity and effects unmeasurable to the analyst as causal factors.

Modelling Results

A number of different models were estimated using the data described previously. The key variables of interest are the infrastructure variables and other variables that change over time. These included, age cohorts, per capita alcohol consumption, seat-belt laws (and usage), per capita income, and population. Vehicle miles of travel (VMT) was also included in models without the population variable due to their high collinearity. These results were essentially similar to the population models and are not shown for brevity.⁴

Tables 2 and 3 have results for fixed effect negative binomial models estimated controlling for state population. As mentioned previously, random effects models were also estimated but were rejected by the Hausman specification test, except in one case which is discussed below. Dependent variables are indicated for each model and were total traffic-related fatalities (DEATHS) and total traffic-related injuries (INJURED). The years of data used in the estimates are also listed. Models A and B in both Table 2 and 3 do not include proxies for medical technology improvements. These are added in models C and D. Table 3 replaces the variables for seat-belt laws with a seat-belt usage rate for each state. This data was only available from 1990 to 1997 and therefore these models contain a shorter time series of data than those in Table 2. Table 4 contains a random effects negative binomial model corresponding to the fixed effects model estimated as model 3-C. This model was not rejected by the Hausman specification and is included here as a likely superior model to that of 3-C. In the following sections the results of the infrastructure variables are discussed first, followed by a discussion of demographic and trend variables, and then other key variables. The magnitude of the estimated coefficient impacts on expected fatalities and injuries is also discussed.

Infrastructure Variables

Total lane miles are found to be highly significant in the fatality models (2-A and 2-C). In models 3-A and 3-C, based on a shorter time series and controlling for seat-belt usage, total lane miles shows a small negative effect.⁵ However, the random effects specification of model 3-C in Table 4, shows that the lane mile coefficient is highly significant and positive. For injuries, this variable is also significant in model B but loses significance and magnitude in model D (in both Tables 2 and 3). Initial expectations were that this variable would be significant with a negative sign, implying that additional lane miles reduce fatalities and injuries. If this variable had been found to be insignificant then this would be a strong conclusion in itself since it is generally assumed that newer lane miles, which are designed with the most recent engineering design standards will be safer. A result showing no significant effect would therefore be quite surprising. While the results are less convincing based on the shorter time series of Table 3, these results tend to suggest that additional lane miles actually increase fatalities while also having a small positive effect on injuries.

Three variables were constructed to measure the average number of lanes for the three different road classes (interstates, arterials, and collectors). This was done by dividing the total lane miles of each road class by the center line miles for that road class. This gives an overall average of the number of lanes in a given state. Results show that states with more lanes (on average) on interstates and arterials will have more injuries. No significant effect is shown for fatalities in the models in Table 2, however the models in Tables 3 and 4 do show a significant and positive effect. An increase in the number of lanes on collector roads leads to more fatalities and possibly a small (insignificant) reduction in injuries (though this effect is not picked up in the models in Tables 3 and 4).

⁴ See Noland (2001), a previous version of this paper that includes models with VMT.

⁵ This result appears to be due to the shorter time series and not the inclusion of the seat-belt usage variable. Models specified with the seat-belt laws showed a similar negative coefficient, though with slightly lower significance.

This result again goes against conventional assumptions. Normally it is assumed that increasing highway capacity (by adding lanes) will alleviate congestion and reduce accidents. This would imply that we would expect negative coefficients on all these variables. These results suggest that this is not the case and that added lanes (on average) is probably detrimental to overall safety. Some prior research has found similar results (Vitaliano & Held, 1991; Sawalha & Sayed, 2001).

To control for the type of road infrastructure in each state, the percent of lane miles for each functional category was included in the model. In theory, one would expect that additional higher functional categories, such as interstates, would result in fewer fatalities and injuries. This seems to be the case for injuries, as Models 2-B, 2-D and 3-D have significant negative coefficients. However, no significant effect appears for the impact of more interstate lane miles on fatalities. Results are somewhat mixed for the effect of arterial and collector lane miles. The models in Table 2 suggest that more arterial lane miles results in more fatalities with model 2-A being significant and model 2-C having a positive but insignificant coefficient. Tables 3 and 4 do not have significant effects on this variable. Collector lane miles lead to more fatalities and injuries in the models of Table 2, but show no significant effect in the models of Table 3 and 4 with shorter times series. Arterial roads are generally considered less safe than most other road categories (primarily due to poor access control) and these results tend to support that conclusion.

Increasing the lane widths of roads is normally seen as a strategy for reducing accidents. Those states with more arterials with lane widths of 9 ft or less have fewer traffic injuries, as is shown by the statistical significance of the coefficient in models 2-B and 3-B and the negative sign in models 2-D and 3-D. The coefficient on this variable is generally not significant for the fatality models with the exception of the model in Table 4. The coefficients for arterials with lane widths of 10 ft are all negative and often significant in

Table 2 for both injuries and fatalities. The coefficients for arterials with lane widths of 11 ft are also negative and significant (in Table 2). The coefficient for arterial lane widths of 12 ft or greater is also not significant for either injuries or fatalities.

For collector lane widths we see a similar, but slightly different pattern. The coefficient for collectors with lane widths of 9 ft or less are negative and significant indicating that smaller lane widths reduce both fatalities and injuries. For 10 ft lane widths there is generally no statistical significance, though in Table 3 the coefficients on the injury models are negative and significant. For 11 ft lane widths there is also generally a negative and significant effect. The coefficient for lane widths of 12 ft or greater on collectors is positive and in some cases significant for fatalities (Table 3).

The data on the lane width variables was also analysed by including only one of the corresponding variables in each model. This was done due to correlations between some of the lane width variables. Generally, the correlations between these variables were about 0.50 with only 3 of the 28 correlations exceeding 0.70. In Table 5 these coefficient values and their test statistic are shown for 20 different models (other coefficients had similar values to those in Tables 2 and 3 and are omitted for brevity). The pattern in the coefficients for both the fatality and injury models is quite clear. When there are more arterial and collector lane widths of 12 ft or more, traffic fatalities and injuries increase. The coefficients for 12 foot or greater lane widths are the only estimates that are positive and significant. Estimates for coefficients of smaller lane widths are either significantly negative or insignificant. The coefficient for a variable representing the percent of lane widths (for each road class) of less than or equal to 11 ft is also estimated. This is negative and significant in all cases except for injuries on collector roads. While it is not clear from these results whether there is some optimal “safest” lane width, there does seem to be evidence that lane widths of over 11 ft do not contribute to a safer road environment.

These results are quite surprising as it is general practice to improve the safety of roads by increasing lane widths. One possible behavioral response is that drivers increase their speed when lanes are wider and off-set any safety benefit from increased lane space. Another possibility is the hypothesis of Mahalel & Szternfeld (1986) that drivers may feel safer and reduce cautionary behavior.

Table 6 summarizes the conventional engineering wisdom on how highway engineering “improvements” affect safety and are compared with the results derived here. As can be seen, it is in general, not possible to support the engineering hypotheses. One result consistent with the engineering hypotheses is that arterial roads are generally less safe than controlled access facilities (interstates). This analysis found statistically significant injury reduction benefits from controlled access facilities compared to more fatalities and injuries due to arterial roads.

Demographic and Trend Variables

Other variables are included in the regressions to control for other effects known to have an impact on traffic-related fatalities and injuries. These variables provide interesting results and help explain the observed trends in total traffic related fatalities and injuries.

States with higher per capita income tend to have higher fatalities and injuries as shown by the large statistically significant positive coefficients on this variable. This result is somewhat counterintuitive as normally wealthier areas seek to avoid riskier activities. However, this effect has been found in other aggregate studies (Hakim et al., 1991). Larger population does not seem to conclusively lead to more fatalities or injuries.

Most importantly it was found that changes in age cohorts has a large significant effect on both fatalities and injuries. The percent of the population between 15 and 24 years of age increases both fatalities and injuries since drivers in this age group are well known for

being involved in more crashes. However, increases in the percent of the population over age 75 leads to fewer fatalities and injuries, which is a surprising result.

The year variable, which represents a time trend, is negative and significant for fatalities in model 2-A. It is not significant for injuries in model 2-B. This is an important result, as it indicates that other factors, not included in the regression analyses are playing a role in reducing total fatalities. Inclusion of the medical technology variables (discussed further below) eliminates the significance of the time trend variable in model 2-C, suggesting that improvements in medical technology is playing a role in reducing traffic-related fatalities. Inclusion of the seat-belt usage variable in models 3-A and 3-C has the same effect of eliminating the significance of the time trend.⁶ It is possible that other variables, not included in this study may also play a role over time, such as marginal improvements in the design of vehicles.⁷

Other Variables

Two different sets of variables are included to capture effects from seat-belt use. The first is the inclusion of a dummy variable representing whether a state has either a primary seat-belt law, a secondary seat-belt law, or none at all. Primary laws allow police officers to ticket those they see who are not wearing seat-belts. Secondary laws only allow tickets to be given if drivers have committed some other moving violation. Most states have secondary laws while a few have recently enacted primary laws. These variables are included in the models of Table 2. Primary laws have the expected effect of reducing both fatalities and injuries, while secondary laws unexpectedly seem to result in an increase in fatalities. However, this effect disappears in model 3-C. McCarthy (1999), using California data, found that enactment of a seat-belt law had no significant effect on fatalities.

⁶ The shorter time trend also seems to have an effect as the year variable is also not significant when the seat-belt usage variable is not included.

⁷ The introduction of airbags in the 1990's may also have an effect, though within the time series of the data, this would have represented a small fraction of the total fleet.

Both laws have been found to increase seat belt usage (see Noland et al., 2001). This suggests that an alternative approach is to include measured seat-belt usage instead of the seat-belt law dummy variables in the model. As discussed previously, this was done for the models in Table 3 and 4, but data was only available for the 8 years between 1990 and 1997. Results show that seat-belt usage is statistically significant at reducing fatalities but has no effect on injuries.

Alcohol consumption has also been associated with traffic fatalities (Hakim et al., 1991). To control for this effect, per capita alcohol consumption (measured as total ethanol volume consumed divided by total population) was included in the models. This has a very significant effect on total fatalities but was not significant for total injuries.

Improvements in medical technology may also be playing a significant role in reducing overall traffic-related fatalities. To examine this effect, two variables are tested. The first, is the density of hospitals within a state which may serve as a proxy for emergency response times and for the relative amount of rural areas within a state. One would expect a higher density of hospitals to result in fewer fatalities. Lave (1985) showed that this was a significant variable, with those states having a higher density of hospitals per square mile having fewer fatalities, however, he did not use time series data in his analysis. This variable had an unexpected positive coefficient in the fatality models and was statistically significantly with a negative coefficient in the injuries model. While the coefficient was not generally significant in the fatality models, it could be that this simply is an endogenous response of more hospitals being built in states with more fatal traffic accidents.

A better reflection of changes in medical technology is to find a good variable that represents life saving capabilities. Initially it was hoped that some index of change in medical technology would be readily available. However there does not appear to be a statistical measure of this type collected and certainly not at the state level. Therefore a

proxy variable was needed which would be correlated with the underlying changes in medical technology over time and between states. This variable need not necessarily be linked with traffic-related mortality but would represent changes in medical technology.

For this reason, white infant mortality levels was tested. This was thought to be one of the best indicators of changes in medical technology and also exhibited large variations between states and over time. Nationwide white infant mortality rates in the US have decreased by 34%, from 9.43 to 6.18 deaths per 1000 births between 1985 and 1997. For a given year, there is a large variability between states, ranging from a minimum of 7.5 deaths per 1000 births in 1985 for the states of Hawaii and Massachusetts to a high of 12.2 deaths per 1000 births for the states of Wyoming and Delaware. In 1996 the range was 4.3 to 9.1 with New Hampshire having the lowest rate and West Virginia having the highest rate. Overall correlation with per capita income is only 0.48. Use of total infant mortality rates, instead of just white infant mortality rates, would have had a stronger correlation with per capita income, making interpretation of the results more difficult.

Data on white infant mortality rates was available only for 1985 – 1986, 1988, 1990, and 1992-1997. Missing years were filled in with averaged values from bordering years. Tests of the model with missing years produced essentially the same results.

As can be seen, this variable is positive and highly significant in the fatality models, implying that increases in medical technology reduce total traffic-related fatalities (i.e., reduced white infant mortality represents increased medical technology). The coefficient is also significant in the models that control for seat-belt usage (Tables 3 and 4). Equally important, the variable is not at all significant in the injury models. Therefore, it appears to be picking up the ability of medical technology to reduce the incidence of fatalities in the most severe crashes; though, as one would expect, total injuries would not be affected by medical technology improvements.

The year trend variable is reduced in magnitude and loses its statistical significance when the medical technology proxy is included in the model. Accounting for medical technology effects appears to pick up much of the effect of other factors reducing fatalities over time. As noted previously, this effect is not apparent in the seat-belt usage models which appear to have also captured the time trend, though the white infant mortality variable is still statistically significant in the seat-belt models.

Magnitude of Impacts

These results show that in general, infrastructure “improvements” have led to an increase in total traffic-related fatalities, while demographic changes, reduced per capita alcohol consumption, and medical technology improvements have decreased fatalities. Increased seat-belt usage also appears to have decreased fatalities though the impact of seat-belt legislation is less clear. A relevant question is what the relative impact of changes over time have been.

Table 7 shows how 1985 fatalities and injuries would have changed by applying the elasticities estimated by the models to the 1997 levels of the variables. Table 7 also shows the 95% confidence levels associated with these estimates, though point estimates are shown for those above a 90% one-tailed confidence level.

The effects of changes in infrastructure have resulted in about 1700 more fatalities in 1997 relative to 1985. Of this, about 900 of these fatalities are associated with changes in lane widths. The estimated confidence interval for the increase in fatalities from infrastructure changes ranges from 750 to over 2700 and from about 700 to 1100 more fatalities due to lane width changes. These estimates are based on summing the positive and negative effects of the changes associated with these variables. The infrastructure changes that have most increased fatalities are added lane miles of capacity and increases in the percent of lanes miles that are arterial roads.

Increased injury levels associated with each of the variables are also shown in Table 7. Infrastructure changes have led to about 300,000 more injuries while lane width changes have accounted for about 60,000 more injuries. The largest infrastructure effect leading to increased injuries is the increase in the average number of interstate lanes (about 235,000 more injuries).

The variable with the largest impact on increased fatalities is increased per capita income (over 11,000). Increases in income have also had the largest effect on increasing injuries (over 630,000). Increased population has resulted in about 850 more fatalities.

Those factors driving down total fatalities are fewer young people aged 15-24 (reduction of about 5300), more older people aged over 75 (reduction of about 3100), less alcohol consumption (reduction of about 3200), and better medical technology as represented by the proxy of white infant mortality rates (reduction of about 2000). Hospitals per square mile has fallen over the time span of the data resulting in an increase of nearly 240,000 injuries. Calculations show that this variable has decreased fatalities, but this may be an endogenous effect, as discussed previously.

Increased seat-belt usage appears to have the greatest impact on fatality reduction based upon estimated nationwide usage of only 21% in 1985 increasing to 68% nationwide in 1996 (US DOT, 1998). This estimate is derived by applying the coefficient from the model in Table 4, using just 8 years of data to and results in about 12,600 fewer fatalities in 1996 compared to 1985.

These results suggest that changes in absolute levels of fatalities and injuries are most sensitive to demographic and behavioral variables. Infrastructure changes have had a small positive effect on fatalities and injuries but this has been dwarfed by the large reductions from other changes over time. Clearly, these results suggest that not controlling for factors

that change over time could lead to misleading results on how infrastructure change may affect traffic safety.

Conclusions

The results of this analysis suggest that changes in highway infrastructure that have occurred between 1984 and 1997 have not reduced traffic fatalities and injuries and have even had the effect of increasing total fatalities and injuries. This conclusion conflicts with conventional engineering wisdom on the benefits of “improving” highway facilities and achieving higher standards of design (Transportation Research Board, 1987). While not all explicit highway design improvements were analysed, the fact that adding new and higher design standard lane miles leads to increased fatalities and injuries suggests that new “improved” design standards are not achieving safety benefits. The review of the literature identified other studies that have found this effect, though these studies have not clearly interpreted the implications for transport and safety policy.

Other factors, primarily changes in the demographic age mix of the population, increased seat-belt usage, reduced per capita alcohol consumption, and improvements in medical technology are responsible for the downward trend in total fatal accidents. To date, these changes have been more than sufficient to off-set the effect of increasing per capita income and the effects of various infrastructure improvements.

A key conclusion is that when analyzing the safety effects of infrastructure change it is necessary to control for changes in exogenous factors and other policy initiatives aimed at reducing accidents. Previous research has generally consisted of cross-sectional datasets that have not captured changes over time. In cases where safety effects have been analysed before and after some infrastructure change it is often necessary to collect several years of before and after accident data to have sufficient numbers for statistical analyses. Again, these type of analyses could suffer from not picking up changes in other factors over time. Many

studies also tend to aggregate fatalities and injuries, due mainly to the low probability of fatalities occurring on any specific segment under study. This may lead to erroneous conclusions if infrastructure changes have a different impact on fatal outcomes than on injuries. Finally, as discussed in the literature review, newer statistical methods are now available that more appropriately account for the random processes that generate accidents, meaning that there may be erroneous results in some of the older work examining these issues within the safety literature.

The underlying behavioral mechanism that could explain the increase in fatalities associated with infrastructure improvements was not examined. However, it seems likely that it is due to possibly two effects. One is that an increase in speed levels is enabled, for example, by lane widenings or increased capacity, which could increase traffic-related fatalities. The other is that drivers may not recognize risky situations as readily due to a decrease in the difficulty of the driving task, as hypothesized by Mahalel & Szternfeld (1986). Clearly, more detailed investigation of these underlying effects is called for.

Highway project decision making is critically linked to current assumptions about the beneficial aspects of “improved” design standards. Many projects are justified based upon their crash reduction benefits, for example, as stated in environmental impact statements. This implies that some level of environmental damage is acceptable when safety benefits can be achieved. Obviously, if safety benefits cannot be achieved while allowing environmental degradation, this challenges a critical justification for many projects.

Current methods for cost-benefit analyses, such as the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Highway Economics Requirements System, include explicit consideration of various engineering design criteria, such as lane widths, shoulder widths, and horizontal curvature(Cambridge Systematics, 1998; US DOT, 1999). Crash reduction rates are based

upon various engineering studies, but do not control for other factors that reduce fatalities over time. Clearly, these type of analyses could lead to faulty conclusions.

This is not to say that all highway projects that may decrease safety are necessarily not beneficial. They may still provide mobility improvements that are beneficial in comparison to the safety costs.

While it is difficult to forecast traffic-related fatalities into the future, current demographic trends with an increase in the elderly population and fewer younger people suggest that downward trends will continue. It is even more difficult to know whether medical technology will continue to improve over time, but it is certainly possible that the pace of improvement may be less rapid than in the past (or alternatively it may accelerate). Further increases in seat-belt usage are still feasible and can be effective at reducing future fatalities. It is likely that downward trends in fatalities may continue despite increased design upgrading of highway and road infrastructure.

The modelling framework used in this paper can be expanded in several ways. First, it should be feasible to analyze various sub-categories of crash types, such as pedestrian fatalities and injuries or those involving children. In addition, it would be desirable to include data on other infrastructure elements, such as horizontal curvature and shoulder widths. It is hoped that further analysis of these relationships will help to clarify the effects found here.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank those who helped provide data with this paper, including Robert Voas and Scott Tippetts of the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation who provided data on seat-belt usage and laws, and Jim Getzewich of the Federal Highway Administration who supplied data on lane widths. I would also like to thank staff at the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, staff at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,

colleagues at the Centre for Transport Studies, Matt Karlaftis, Todd Litman and two anonymous referees for providing suggestions on improving the analysis and presentation. I take full responsibility for all errors or omissions.

References

- Abdel-Aty, Mohamed A. and A. Essam Radwan, 2000, Modeling Traffic Accident Occurrence and Involvement, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 32: 633-642.
- Boyle, A.J., and C.C. Wright, 1984, Accident 'Migration' After Remedial Treatment at Accident Blackspots", *Traffic Engineering and Control*, 25: 260-267.
- Cambridge Systematics, 1998, *New Safety Analysis Procedures for HERS*, final report prepared for the Federal Highway Administration
- Cameron, A. Colin and Pravin K. Trivedi, 1998, *Regression Analysis of Count Data*, Cambridge University Press: New York.
- Council, Forrest M., and J. Richard Stewart, 1999, Safety Effects of the Conversion of Rural Two-Lane to Four-Lane Roadways Based on Cross-Sectional Models, Paper presented at the 78th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC.
- Dickerson, Andrew, Joh Peirson and Roger Vickerman, 2000, Road Accidents and Traffic Flows: An Econometric Investigation, *Economica*, 67: 101-21.
- Fridstrom, Lasse and Siv Ingebrigsten, 1991, An Aggregate Accident Model Based on Pooled, Regional Time-Series Data, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 23: 363-378.
- Hadi, Mohammed A., Jacob Aruldas, Lee-Fang Chow, and Joseph A. Wattleworth, 1995, Estimating Safety Effects of Cross-Section Design for Various Highway Types Using Negative Binomial Regression, *Transportation Research Record*, 1500: 169-177.
- Hakim, Simon, Daniel Shefer, A.S. Hakkert and Irit Hocherman, 1991, A Critical Review of Macro Models for Road Accidents, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 23(5): 379-400.
- Hauer, Ezra, 2001, Overdispersion in Modelling Accidents on Road Sections and in Empirical Bayes Estimation, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 33: 799-808.
- Hausman, Jerry, Bronwyn H. Hall & Zvi Griliches, 1984, Econometric Models for Count Data with an Application to the Patents-R & D Relationship, *Econometrica*, 52: 909-938.
- Ivan, John N., Chunyan Wang & Nelson R. Bernardo, 2000, Explaining Two-lane Highway Crash Rates Using Land Use and Hourly Exposure, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 32: 787-795.
- Karlaftis, Matthew G. & Andrzej P. Tarko, 1998, Heterogeneity Considerations in Accident Modeling, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 30: 425-433.
- Lave, Charles A., 1985, Speeding, Coordination, and the 55-MPH Limit, *American Economic Review*, 75: 1159-1164.
- Lave, Charles A., 1989, Speeding, Coordination, and the 55-MPH Limit: Reply, *American Economic Review*, 79: 926-931.

- Loeb, Peter D., 1987, The Determinants of Automobile Fatalities: with Special Consideration to Policy Variables, *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 21: 279-287.
- Mahalel, David and Zvi Szternfeld, 1986, Safety Improvements and Driver Perception, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 18(1): 37-42.
- McCarthy, P. S., 1999, Public Policy and Highway Safety: A City-wide Perspective, *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 29: 231-244.
- McGee, H.W., W.E. Hughes, and K. Daily, 1995, *Effect of Highway Standards on Safety*, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Report 374, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, National Academy Press: Washington, DC.
- Miaou, Shaw-Pin and Harry Lum, 1993, Modeling Vehicle Accidents and Highway Geometric Design Relationships, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 25(6): 689-709.
- Milton, John and Fred Mannering, 1998, The Relationship Among Highway Geometries, Traffic-related Elements and Motor-vehicle Accident Frequencies, *Transportation*, 25: 395-413.
- Noland, Robert B., 2001, Traffic Fatalities and Injuries: Are Reductions the Result of 'Improvements' in Highway Design Standards?, paper no. 01-2727, presented at the 80th Annual Meeting of the *Transportation Research Board*.
- Noland, Robert B., Arnab Majumdar, and Washington Y. Ochieng, "A Spatial Analysis of Seat-belt Usage and Seat-belt Laws", Working Paper, Centre for Transport Studies, Imperial College, London.
- Peltzman, S., 1975, The Effects of Automobile Safety Regulation, *Journal of Political Economy*, 83: 677-725.
- Porter, Bryan E. and Kelli J. England, 2000, Predicting Red-Light Running Behavior: A Traffic Study in Three Urban Settings, *Journal of Safety Research*, 31(1): 1-8.
- Robertson, L. S., 1981, Automobile Safety Regulations and Death Reductions in the United States, *American Journal of Public Health*, 71: 818-822.
- Sawalha, Ziad and Tarek Sayed, 2001, Evaluating Safety of Urban Arterial Roadways, *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 127(2): 151-158.
- Shankar, Venkataraman, Fred Mannering, and Woodrow Barfield, 1995, Effect of Roadway Geometrics and Environmental Factors on Rural Freeway Accident Frequencies, *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 27(3): 371-389.
- Shefer, Daniel and Piet Rietveld, 1997, Congestion and Safety on Highways: Towards an Analytical Model, *Urban Studies*, 34: 679-692.
- Sivak, Michael, 1983, Society's Aggression Level as a Predictor of Traffic Fatality Rate, *Journal of Safety Research*, 14: 93-99.
- StataCorp, 1999, *Stata Statistical Software: Release 6.0*, College Station, TX: Stata Corporation.
- Transportation Research Board, 1987, *Designing Safer Roads: Practices for Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation*, Special Report 214, National Research Council, Washington, DC.
- US DOT, 1998, *Highway Statistics 1997*, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Highway Information Management, FHWA-PL-98-020.
- US DOT, 1998, *Buckle Up America – The Presidential Initiative for Increasing Seat-belt Use Nationwide*, First Report to Congress. <http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/injury/airbags/buckleplan/presbelt2/>

US DOT, 1999, *1999 Status of the Nation's Highways, Bridges and Transit: Conditions and Performance*, Report to Congress, Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration.

Vitaliano, Donald F. and James Held, 1991, Road Accident External Effects: An Empirical Assessment, *Applied Economics*, 23: 373-378.

Vogt, Andrew and Joe Bared, 1998, Accident Models for Two-Lane Rural Segments and Intersections, paper presented at the 77th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board.

Wilde, G. J. S., 1982, The Theory of Risk Homeostasis: Implications for Safety and Health, *Risk Analysis*, 2: 209-225.

Zhou, Min and Virginia P. Sisiopiku, 1997, On the Relationship Between Volume to Capacity Ratios and Accident Rates, Paper presented at the 76th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC.

Zlatoper, T. J., 1984, Regression Analysis of Time Series Data on Motor Vehicle Deaths in the United States, *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 18: 263-274.

Table 1
Trends in Highway Infrastructure Variables

	1985 value	1997 value	Percent change
Total Lane Miles (excludes local roads)	8,015,290	8,235,037	2.74%
Average Number of Interstate Lanes	4.340	4.457	2.71%
Average Number of Arterial Lanes	2.433	2.508	3.09%
Average Number of Collector Lanes	2.024	2.026	0.08%
Percent of Lane Miles that are Interstates	2.36%	2.49%	5.65%
Percent of Lane Miles that are Arterials	10.60%	11.51%	8.62%
Percent of Lane Miles that are Collectors	20.32%	19.51%	-4.00%
Percent Arterials with 9 ft or less Lane Widths	3.06%	1.58%	-48.33%
Percent Arterials with 10 ft Lane Widths	12.87%	9.22%	-28.34%
Percent Arterials with 11 ft Lane Widths	17.01%	14.91%	-12.32%
Percent Arterials with 12 ft or greater Lane Widths	67.07%	74.29%	10.76%
Percent Collectors with 9 ft or less Lane Widths	16.21%	10.74%	-33.71%
Percent Collectors with 10 ft Lane Widths	31.60%	27.01%	-14.54%
Percent Collectors with 11 ft Lane Widths	20.25%	23.01%	13.64%
Percent Collectors with 12 ft or greater Lane Widths	31.95%	39.24%	22.83%

Table 2
Fixed Effect Negative Binomial Model

Aggregate State Data	(A)		(B)		(C)		(D)	
Dependent Variable	DEATHS		INJURED		DEATHS		INJURED	
Years of data	1984-1997		1984-1997		1985-1997		1985-1997	
	Coef.	T-Stat	Coef.	T-Stat	Coef.	T-Stat	Coef.	T-Stat
Infrastructure Variables								
Log(total lane miles)	0.355	3.16	0.624	4.55	0.378	2.83	0.183	1.17
Log(average number of interstate lanes)	0.173	0.69	2.524	6.23	0.253	0.96	2.843	6.87
Log(average number of arterial lanes)	0.101	0.91	0.506	2.09	0.101	0.84	0.494	2.35
Log(average number of collector lanes)	1.036	2.61	-0.713	-0.73	1.271	2.47	-1.246	-1.41
Log(percent interstate lane miles)	0.052	0.61	-0.204	-1.60	0.061	0.66	-0.367	-2.81
Log(percent arterial lane miles)	0.152	1.92	0.238	1.70	0.132	1.47	0.103	0.74
Log(percent collector lane miles)	0.149	2.07	0.304	3.32	0.125	1.62	0.205	2.26
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 9 ft. or less)	0.007	1.44	-0.021	-2.68	0.006	1.16	-0.011	-1.48
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 10 ft.)	-0.017	-1.60	-0.035	-2.41	-0.017	-1.52	-0.033	-2.33
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 11 ft.)	-0.003	-0.24	-0.011	-0.67	-0.003	-0.21	0.003	0.24
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 12 ft. or greater)	0.005	0.09	0.133	1.24	0.034	0.54	0.075	0.67
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 9 ft. or less)	-0.022	-3.06	-0.034	-2.92	-0.022	-2.67	-0.023	-2.19
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 10 ft.)	0.025	1.39	-0.015	-0.51	0.011	0.55	-0.008	-0.28
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 11 ft.)	-0.023	-2.54	-0.044	-3.50	-0.024	-2.34	-0.031	-3.18
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 12 ft. or greater)	0.033	1.21	0.008	0.14	0.048	1.59	0.027	0.42
Demographic and Trend Variables								
Log(percent population aged 15-24)	0.566	5.87	0.646	4.14	0.621	5.99	0.749	4.80
Log(percent population over age 75)	-0.322	-3.19	-0.518	-3.46	-0.366	-3.22	-0.219	-1.34
Log(per capita income)	0.955	8.05	0.953	4.27	0.877	6.71	0.730	3.13
Log(population)	0.119	1.35	-0.471	-4.26	0.148	1.40	0.045	0.31
Year	-0.010	-2.90	0.005	0.89	-0.003	-0.85	0.000	-0.02
Other Variables								
Primary Seat-belt Law	-0.047	-3.20	-0.048	-1.54	-0.039	-2.39	-0.103	-3.16
Secondary Seat-belt Law	0.022	2.27	0.013	0.67	0.006	0.54	0.011	0.54
Log(Per capita alcohol consumption)	0.490	5.67	0.103	0.65	0.417	4.52	0.147	0.95
Log(White Infant Mortality)	-	-	-	-	0.130	2.67	0.013	0.18
Log(Hospitals per Square Mile)	-	-	-	-	0.136	1.61	-0.617	-6.54
Constant	13.074	2.23	-19.746	-1.94	-0.162	-0.02	-12.784	-1.06
N	700		657		646		607	
Log likelihood	-3290.75		-6029.45		-3007.16		-5510.20	

Table 3
Fixed Effect Negative Binomial Regressions with Seat-belt Use Variable

Aggregate State Data	(A)		(B)		(C)		(D)	
Dependent Variable	DEATHS		INJURED		DEATHS		INJURED	
Years of data	1990-1997		1990-1997		1990-1997		1990-1997	
	Coef.	T-Stat	Coef.	T-Stat	Coef.	T-Stat	Coef.	T-Stat
Infrastructure Variables								
Log(total lane miles)	-0.294	-1.28	0.893	3.32	-0.401	-1.60	0.021	0.07
Log(average number of interstate lanes)	1.402	3.40	2.376	3.26	1.447	3.47	2.935	4.08
Log(average number of arterial lanes)	0.382	2.12	0.709	1.37	0.395	2.21	0.949	2.00
Log(average number of collector lanes)	0.001	0.00	0.406	0.28	-0.162	-0.29	-1.288	-1.06
Log(percent interstate lane miles)	-0.018	-0.11	-0.091	-0.32	-0.121	-0.71	-0.569	-1.98
Log(percent arterial lane miles)	-0.104	-0.93	0.243	1.10	-0.122	-1.07	0.100	0.53
Log(percent collector lane miles)	-0.033	-0.35	0.126	1.39	-0.050	-0.52	0.117	1.10
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 9 ft. or less)	0.008	1.33	-0.020	-2.34	0.008	1.39	-0.013	-1.46
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 10 ft.)	-0.012	-0.88	0.018	0.98	-0.019	-1.31	0.003	0.16
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 11 ft.)	-0.008	-0.56	0.006	0.24	-0.010	-0.64	0.000	0.01
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 12 ft. or greater)	-0.162	-1.29	0.073	0.25	-0.126	-1.02	0.018	0.07
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 9 ft. or less)	-0.016	-1.49	-0.010	-0.60	-0.013	-1.13	-0.001	-0.04
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 10 ft.)	0.011	0.40	-0.109	-2.15	0.016	0.56	-0.087	-1.81
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 11 ft.)	-0.008	-0.76	-0.047	-3.01	-0.006	-0.53	-0.027	-2.44
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 12 ft. or greater)	0.119	2.56	0.204	1.71	0.117	2.56	0.097	0.87
Demographic and Trend Variables								
Log(percent population aged 15-24)	0.819	5.43	1.149	4.04	0.759	5.00	1.000	4.22
Log(percent population over age 75)	-0.508	-2.42	-0.463	-1.18	-0.542	-2.49	-0.481	-1.62
Log(per capita income)	0.722	3.19	0.580	1.40	0.809	3.59	0.607	1.55
Log(population)	0.159	0.97	-0.976	-4.55	0.231	1.33	-0.126	-0.51
Year	0.004	0.52	0.021	1.76	0.006	0.85	0.010	0.90
Other Variables								
Log(Per capita alcohol consumption)	0.253	1.82	0.018	0.08	0.201	1.42	0.092	0.46
Log(Percent Seat-belt Use)	-0.143	-4.98	-0.029	-0.56	-0.134	-4.68	-0.039	-0.76
Log(White Infant Mortality)	-	-	-	-	0.142	2.68	-0.010	-0.12
Log(Hospitals per Square Mile)	-	-	-	-	0.102	0.85	-0.723	-5.51
Constant	-8.085	-0.70	-42.018	-1.99	-13.688	-1.18	-30.844	-1.51
N	400		378		396		374	
Log likelihood	-1682.25		-3245.30		-1662.40		-3198.85	

Table 4
Random Effects Negative Binomial Model Corresponding to Model 3-C

Aggregate State Data	(C)	
Dependent Variable	DEATHS	
Years of data	1990-1997	
	Coef.	T-Stat
<i>Infrastructure Variables</i>		
Log(total lane miles)	0.431	4.48
Log(average number of interstate lanes)	0.370	1.31
Log(average number of arterial lanes)	0.519	3.11
Log(average number of collector lanes)	-0.508	-0.93
Log(percent interstate lane miles)	0.163	1.60
Log(percent arterial lane miles)	-0.018	-0.19
Log(percent collector lane miles)	0.022	0.26
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 9 ft. or less)	0.013	2.23
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 10 ft.)	0.004	0.26
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 11 ft.)	0.002	0.09
Log(percent arterials with lane widths of 12 ft. or greater)	-0.136	-1.17
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 9 ft. or less)	-0.020	-1.64
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 10 ft.)	0.026	0.91
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 11 ft.)	-0.001	-0.08
Log(percent collectors with lane widths of 12 ft. or greater)	0.023	0.57
<i>Demographic and Trend Variables</i>		
Log(percent population aged 15-24)	0.970	6.25
Log(percent population over age 75)	-0.407	-2.56
Log(per capita income)	0.403	1.92
Log(population)	0.557	6.02
Year	0.014	2.23
<i>Other Variables</i>		
Log(Per capita alcohol consumption)	0.322	2.71
Log(Percent Seat-belt Use)	-0.123	-4.18
Log(White Infant Mortality)	0.198	3.43
Log(Hospitals per Square Mile)	0.081	1.24
Constant	-36.217	-3.43
N	396	
Log likelihood	-2110.87	

Table 5
Coefficients on Lane Width Variables when Modelled Individually

	Fatality Models	Injury Models
Percent Arterials with Lane Widths of 9 ft or less	-0.001 (-0.24)	-0.022 (-2.99)
Percent Arterials with 10 ft Lane Widths	-0.023 (-2.25)	-0.051 (-3.94)
Percent Arterials with 11 ft Lane Widths	-0.027 (-2.60)	-0.037 (-3.28)
Percent Arterials with Lane Widths of 11 ft or less	-0.042 (-2.97)	-0.064 (-4.03)
Percent Arterials with Lane Widths of 12 ft or greater	0.093 (1.72)	0.186 (1.85)
Percent Collectors with Lane Widths of 9 ft or less	-0.023 (-2.82)	-0.033 (-3.15)
Percent Collectors with 10 ft Lane Widths	-0.007 (-0.37)	-0.017 (-0.61)
Percent Collectors with 11 ft Lane Widths	-0.025 (-2.83)	-0.039 (-4.40)
Percent Collectors with Lane Widths of 11 ft or less	-0.061 (-2.07)	-0.008 (-0.21)
Percent Collectors with Lane Widths of 12 ft or greater	0.069 (2.63)	0.091 (1.71)

Test statistic is in parentheses

Table 6
Hypothesized and Modelled Effect of Infrastructure Variables

	Fatalities		Injuries	
	Engineering Hypothesis	Results of Analysis	Engineering Hypothesis	Results of Analysis
Total Lane Miles	-	+	-	+
Average Interstate Lanes	-	*	-	+
Average Arterial Lanes	-	*	-	+
Average Collector Lanes	-	+	-	*
Percent Interstate Lane Miles	-	*	-	-
Percent Arterial Lane Miles	+	+	+	+
Percent Collector Lane Miles	*	+	*	+
Percent Arterials with 9 ft or less Lane Widths	+	*	+	-
Percent Arterials with 10 ft Lane Widths	+	-	+	-
Percent Arterials with 11 ft Lane Widths	*	*	*	*
Percent Arterials with 12 ft or greater Lane Widths	-	*	-	*
Percent Collectors with 9 ft or less Lane Widths	+	-	+	-
Percent Collectors with 10 ft Lane Widths	+	*	+	*
Percent Collectors with 11 ft Lane Widths	*	-	*	-
Percent Collectors with 12 ft or greater Lane Widths	-	+	-	*
	+ = positive and significant effect - = negative and significant effect * = insignificant effect			

Table 7
Estimated Changes in Fatalities and Injuries using Elasticity Values

Results from Models 2-C and 2-D	Fatality Elasticity	Injury Elasticity	Change in 1985 fatalities with 1997 values of each variable	95% confidence range of estimate		Change in 1985 injuries with 1997 values of each variable	95% confidence range of estimate	
Total Lane Miles	0.378	*	474	146	803	*	*	*
Average Interstate Lanes	*	2.843	*	*	*	236414	168988	303908
Average Arterial Lanes	*	0.494	*	*	*	90237	7846	86046
Average Collector Lanes	1.271	-1.246	57	12	103	-3798	-9062	1468
Percent Interstate Lane Miles	*	-0.367	*	*	*	-63966	-108593	-19270
Percent Arterial Lane Miles	0.132	*	521	-175	1214	*	*	*
Percent Collector Lane Miles	0.125	0.205	-229	48	-506	-25298	-3396	-47169
Percent Arterials with 9 ft or less Lane Widths	*	-0.011	*	*	*	23916	38981	-5411
Percent Arterials with 10 ft Lane Widths	-0.017	-0.033	220	513	-64	28847	52301	4528
Percent Arterials with 11 ft Lane Widths	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Percent Arterials with 12 ft or greater Lane Widths	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Percent Collectors with 9 ft or less Lane Widths	-0.022	-0.023	339	587	90	23916	45379	2475
Percent Collectors with 10 ft Lane Widths	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Percent Collectors with 11 ft Lane Widths	-0.024	-0.031	-150	-272	-24	-13044	-21316	-5062
Percent Collectors with 12 ft or greater Lane Widths	0.048	*	501	-115	1109	*	*	*
Total for Lane Width Variables			910	713	1111	63635	115345	-3470
Total for Infrastructure Variables			1733	744	2725	297224	171128	321513
Average Per Capita Income	0.877	0.730	11228	7950	14510	630129	235314	1024373
Total Population	0.148	*	851	-343	2039	*	*	*
Total Percent aged 15-24	0.621	0.749	-5289	-3561	-7024	-430119	-254448	-605497
Total Percent aged over 75	-0.366	-0.219	-3099	-4991	-1213	-125012	-308443	58356
Per Capita Alcohol Consumption	0.417	*	-3233	-1829	-4633	*	*	*
White Infant Mortality	0.130	*	-2047	-542	-3539	*	*	*
Hospitals per Square Mile	0.136	-0.617	-784	169	-1735	239714	311532	167819
Seat-belt Use (model 4-C, 3-D, based on 1996 value)	-0.123	*	-12594	-18561	-6703	*	*	*

* Not significant at 90% level (one-tailed test)

Figure 1
Trends in US Traffic Fatalities and Injuries (index = 100 in 1967)

